operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1									
2	YURI ROZHKOV AND THE STATE CORPORATION DEPOSIT INS,								
3	Plaintiff, Adv. Proc. No.								
4	v. 19-01414-mg								
5	LARMAR FOUNDATION, et al.,								
6	Defendants.								
7	x								
8									
9	United States Bankruptcy Court								
10	One Bowling Green								
11	New York, New York								
12									
13	April 6, 2020								
14	10:00 AM								
15									
16									
17									
18	BEFORE:								
19	HON. MARTIN GLENN								
20	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE								
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1 2 16-13534-mg Foreign Economic Industrial Bank Limited, "Vn and 3 State Corporation "Deposit Insurance Agency &q Ch. 15 4 Doc #194 Case Management Conference. 5 6 19-10096-mg Larisa Ivanovna Markus and Yuri Vladimirovich 7 Rozhkov Ch. 15 8 Doc# 247 Case Management Conference. 9 10 Adversary proceeding: 19-01413-mg Rozhkov v. LARMAR Foundation 11 et al 12 Doc# 10 Case Management Conference. 13 16-13534-mg Foreign Economic Industrial Bank Limited, "Vn and 14 15 State Corporation "Deposit Insurance Agency&q 16 Adversary proceeding: 19-01414-mg Yuri Rozhkov and The State Corporation Deposit Ins. v. LARMAR Foundation et al 17 18 Doc# 10 Case Management Conference 19 20 Transcribed by: Linda Ferrara 21 eScribers, LLC 22 352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604 23 New York, NY 10001 24 (973)406-2250 25 operations@escribers.net

```
4
 1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
 3
    MARKS & SOKOLOV LLC
 4
          Attorneys for Debtor
 5
          1835 Market Street
 6
          17th Floor
 7
          Philadelphia, PA 19103
 8
 9
    BY: BRUCE S. MARKS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
10
          NINA KHAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
11
12
13
    THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL A. SINGER PLLC
14
          Attorneys for Protax Entities and the LM Entities
15
          630 3rd Avenue
16
          18th Floor
17
          New York, NY 10017
18
19
    BY:
          DANIEL A. SINGER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    LAW OFFICE OF VICTOR A. WORMS
 2
 3
          Attorney for Larisa Markus
 4
          65 Broadway
 5
          Suite 750
 6
          New York, NY 10006
 7
 8
    BY: VICTOR A. WORMS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: All right. This is Judge Glenn. We're here in two Chapter 15 cases, Foreign Economic Bank Limited, 3 16-13534, and Larisa Markus, 19-10096, and in two adversary 4 proceedings, Rozhkov v. LARMAR, et al., 19-01413, and 19-01414. 5 6 May I have the appearances of counsel please? First 7 for the Foreign representatives? MR. MARKS: Your Honor, this is Bruce Marks. I 8 9 represent the Deposit Insurance Agency, as a foreign 10 representative for Vneshprombank, and I represent Mr. Rozhkov 11 as the trustee for Ms. Markus. 12 I believe on the line we have Nina Khan of my office, 13 and I'm hopeful that Sergey Sokolov of our Moscow office is 14 also on the line. 15 THE COURT: Yes, I see that he's joined, as well. All 16 right. 17 And for Mr. Worms, and Mr. Singer, could you make your 18 appearances please? First Mr. Singer? 19 MR. SINGER: -- Protax entities. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Singer, I couldn't hear you. 21 MR. SINGER: Hello? 22 THE COURT: Could you make your appearance again? 23 MR. SINGER: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. SINGER: Daniel Singer. I'm here for what is

25

Pg 7 of 61										
	FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS									
1	commonly referred to as the LM Entities, and the Protax									
2	Entities.									
3	THE COURT: Okay.									
4	MR. WORMS: Hello?									
5	THE COURT: Mr. Worms? Mr. Worms, are you there?									
6	MR. WORMS: 15 proceedings.									
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.									
8	MR. WORMS: Hello?									
9	THE COURT: Mr. Worms, could you make your appearance									
10	again?									
11	MR. WORMS: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.									
12	THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. Make your appearance.									
13	MR. WORMS: Victor A. Worms appearing for the debtor,									
14	Larisa Markus, and the bank, but only in reference to the									
15	Chapter 15 proceeding, only the Chapter 15 proceeding, Your									
16	Honor.									
17	THE COURT: Did you not file an appearance in the									
18	adversary proceedings?									
19	MR. WORMS: I I'm not no, Your Honor, and I made									
20	it clear to Mr. Marks in a written submission that I would not									
21	be appearing for in the adversary proceeding. I was not									
22	retained, and I have no authority or									
23	THE COURT: Okay.									
24	MR. WORMS: authorization to act in connection with									

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

25 the adversary proceedings, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Thank you very much, Mr. Worms.

All right. The case -- the cases, plural, are scheduled for case management conferences today. I had previously ordered that status reports be filed, and I received three that I've reviewed. I have Mr. Marks' April 2, 2020 letter in both Chapter 15 cases. I have from that same date, April 2, I have Mr. Singer's letter regarding all of those cases, and then today, there was an additional filing by Mr. Singer, April 6th, 2020 letter from Mr. Singer with further information. So I've reviewed all of those.

Let me -- I think both Mr. Marks' letter, and Mr. Singer's letter, long letter, first request that discovery continue to be stayed. There's a disagreement whether that should be for four weeks or six weeks, 30 days that Mr. Marks has asked for in his April 2 letter, 30 days while settlement discussions go on, and Mr. Singer's April 2 letter asked for a longer period than that.

First, Mr. Marks, is there any update that you wish to make other than what's included in your April 2 letter?

MR. MARKS: Well, Your Honor, on Friday, as the Court may or may not know, Judge Liman essentially affirmed the sanctions order and the fees order, he affirmed for the most part, and then remanded two issues for Your Honor which we're prepared to discuss today, and we only got the decision, a

50-some page decision late in the afternoon on Friday, so we did not -- have not submitted that yet to the Court.

MR. WORMS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: And I did receive the opinion -- hold on,
Mr. Worms. I did receive and review Judge Liman's decision, so
it is a lengthy opinion. I want to review it further, but I
will do that.

Mr. Marks, is there anything else that you want to update the Court on?

MR. MARKS: Well, we do want to proceed, Your Honor, before Your Honor on the issues for remand. I'm prepared to discuss our thinking on that now, or we can do that at some later time after Your Honor has had a chance to review the opinion.

MR. WORMS: May I respond briefly, Your Honor?

THE COURT: We'll come -- we will talk about that.

No, just wait a second, Mr. Worms. We will discuss further proceedings in light of the remand from Judge Liman. We'll come to that a little later in the hearing.

Other than the issue of sanctions against Mr. Worms, are there any other issues that you wish to update, Mr. Marks?

MR. MARKS: No, I think our letter of April 2nd lays out what we think the Court asked us to do. I don't see a need to rebut Mr. Singer's letter. We could just proceed as Your Honor sees fit.

	THE C	OURT:	Okay.	Mr.	Singer,	is	there	anything	you
wish	to update	furth	er?						

MR. SINGER: No, I think there's no update on settlement. I mean, I am waiting for Mr. Heinz's (ph.) review of the agreement as I said in my letter, and again if something comes up that I feel I need to respond to in addition, I will do so.

I mean, I didn't want to go into tremendous detail about restrictions that would be in place regardless for doing discovery at this time. I think to a large extent, that's obvious to everybody, but if it becomes necessary, I'll elaborate more on that and other details as it becomes necessary in this phone call.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Worms, what did you want to add?

MR. WORMS: A few things, Your Honor. With respect to the district court's decision, it specifically found that the bankruptcy court held me in criminal contempt, and it vacated that portion that --

THE COURT: No, it didn't. No.

MR. WORMS: I'm sorry, Judge?

THE COURT: Go ahead. No, go ahead. Go ahead, Mr.

Worms.

MR. WORMS: Yes, Judge, because I had a chance to read, and reread the district court's decision. So one, it

found that I was held in criminal contempt in violation of my constitutional rights by the bankruptcy court. It also found that the bankruptcy court could not impose sanctions on me under Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. What it did do is remand to determine to the extent which the Court could act pursuant to its inherent authority, which as the Court knows, is a much more circumscribed scope because this is not an Article III court.

I have today filed an appeal with the Second Circuit of Judge Liman's decision, specifically relating to the issues of the inherent authority, although the nature of the appeal is much broader in scope but the central point, Your Honor, and it's going to become central when we get to the Second Circuit, is the scope of an Article I court is it -- co-extensive with an Article III court, in respect to inherent authority.

So Mr. Marks' statement that the Court essentially affirmed, is not a fair statement because it was a specific finding by the district court that I was held in criminal contempt in violation of my due process right, and furthermore that the predicate which Mr. Marks argued for his legal fees which is Rule 37, does not apply to me because I'm a nonparty, and Rule 37 only applies to parties, which I'm not.

So I am not going to waste the Court's time going over all the details of Judge -- the district court's decision. I just wanted to highlight those two portions as being fairly

salient, and also to advise the Court that I did file a notice of appeal with the Second Circuit today.

THE COURT: All right. I disagree with a lot of what you've said but now is not the time to deal with it, other than one point. Judge Liman remanded the matter to me, and consequently I do not believe there's a final decision appealable to the Second Circuit, but you'll have to deal with the Second Circuit about that.

In any event, I conclude that the matter has been remanded to me and that I have jurisdiction over it, and will go forward on remand from Judge Liman.

I think your recitation of the portion of his decision with respect to contempt is entirely inaccurate.

MR. WORMS: Judge --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And so, I am going to schedule -- no, stop, do not interrupt -- I'm going to schedule further proceedings with respect to the remand from Judge Liman.

You also have a motion to withdraw that's pending, Mr. Worms. I will not deal with the motion to withdraw -- for you to withdraw as counsel until the sanctions issues have been resolved by me. So we're going to -- let me say right now, your motion to withdraw is denied without prejudice. I will schedule further proceedings on remand from the district court. I do not believe that it is appealable to the Second Circuit. If you think that I am improperly going forward with matters

before me on remand, you'll have to take that up either with Judge Liman or with the Second Circuit. We will come out of today's hearing with a schedule to go forward on the issues as to which Judge Liman has remanded the matter.

MR. WORMS: All right.

THE COURT: I do have --

MR. WORMS: I do have on -- one point, Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- some other questions, let me deal with right now.

No, not yet, Mr. Worms. I will tell you when you may speak.

One of the other issues that I do want to raise, a question that I want Mr. Worms to address is the other matters that are currently on appeal in the district court that I believe were on appeal before different judges.

Mr. Marks' April 2nd letter on pages 5 -- on page 5, he has a chart on pending appeals. The sanctions order, and the attorney's fee order were both pending before Judge Liman, and he issued his ruling and remanded the matter, but it doesn't address the three, four, five, and six in the chart on page 5 of Mr. Marks' April 2nd letter.

And Mr. Worms, are you counsel on those appeals? I believe you were the one who appealed the turnover order in the Markus case. I believe you appealed. That's items three and four in Mr. Marks' letter, and it lists two other matters, as

well, as to which appeals are pending.

Mr. Worms, can you tell me what other appeals do you have pending in the district court before different district judges?

MR. WORMS: Sure, Your Honor. I only have one appeal, and that is the appeal of the turnover order. That is before Judge Torres. I have already, and on a timely basis, submitted my appellate brief. There have been a series of extensions and adjournments requested and granted at the request of both Mr. Marks, who is -- I think he's taken two extensions already, and Mr. Singer also has a separate appeal before a separate judge on the turnover order. I believe he's taken three extensions. So I'm the only one who filed a brief timely, Judge, and has not taken an extension yet.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Singer, do you have -- are your appeals pending before different judges or also before Judge Torres?

MR. SINGER: There's two different appeals. One is the turnover order which is before Judge Torres, and the other one is discovery before -- his name is escaping me now, Judge -- Judge Woods, Gregory Woods, and if Mr. Worms is correct, we have sought extensions from Judge Torres. One is still pending. I haven't received a response. Frankly, I assume it's granted at this point because it's past the time, and we -- on consent. We have another one before Judge Woods

where discovery, which I will be -- discuss this with Mr. Marks outside of this conference, but I will be filing an extension for that, as well.

And this is -- there's two issues -- I don't want to -- it's already in letters I've submitted to the Court, so I don't waste the Court's time. I mean, there's two issues for this extension. One has been settlement, and the other one has been the coronavirus issue, which I am sure everybody's very familiar with. So I don't want to waste the Court's time on that, but that's effectively the status at this point.

MR. MARKS: Judge, it's Mr. Marks. I'm back. I hit the --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARKS: I meant to hit the -- sorry about that, I hit the wrong button.

THE COURT: Go ahead. Do you want to address the issue of the still pending appeals?

MR. MARKS: Yeah, what Mr. Worms said, Your Honor, was partly correct but partly not quite correct. Both Ms. Markus and the LM, Protax Entities filed appeals of the turnover order. So they are -- the appeals are now both before Judge Torres, and as Mr. Worms stated, he did file his appellate's brief on time.

Mr. Worms opposed consolidating the two appeals, even though they concern the same order and essentially the same

1 issues, and then Mr. Singer began to ask for extensions.

Our position was that we wanted to file a consolidated brief that would respond to both Mr. Worms' appeal, and Mr. Singer's appeal. So each time Mr. Singer asked for an extension, we asked for a similar extension, so that we would be able to coordinate the filing of one response to both appeals. So that's why that has been delayed.

Mr. Singer, I believe, requested three extensions. He just requested one recently that the Court hasn't ruled on. I don't think it's ruled on it, but I think we assumed that it would be granted because of the situation. So as soon as Mr. Singer files his brief, it's our intent to file one brief, file it in -- well, one copy in one case, one copy in the other case, so that Judge Torres can rule.

Alternatively, we're ready to -- at this point because of the delay, we're ready to file our brief in response to Mr. Worms' appeal, and we'll deal with Mr. Singer's appeal as it comes fit.

And on the discovery --

THE COURT: Am I correct that Judge -- no, wait just a second. Am I correct that Judge Torres denied a stay of the turnover order?

MR. MARKS: I don't believe that -- I'm -- I don't recall.

MR. WORMS: Can I interject, Your Honor?

Singer. Mr. Singer, I should've said this at the start. So

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with our hearing today, and actually with all of the telephone hearings that the Court is conducting, we're using Court Solutions, which you're all called into. The hearing is being recorded by Court Solutions. At the end of each day, they email MP3 files to the Court, and if anyone wishes to order a transcript, they may do so in the normal manner from the Court. So the Court will have the MP3 files.

One of the things that's very important with all of our telephone hearings is that before each of you speaks, you identify yourself on the record, so it can be clear who was speaking.

Mr. Singer, you had started to speak without identifying yourself. So that's just a reminder to everybody. It's sometimes a little hard to remember that but before each of you responds to any questions or addresses anything to the Court, even though it may seem repetitive, you need to specifically identify yourself for the record. I should've said that earlier. Okay.

MR. SINGER: So my apologies, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SINGER: Sorry. May I speak?

THE COURT: Quite all right -- quite all right.

MR. SINGER: With that understanding, may I speak?

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. SINGER: Okay. So I just was saying briefly,

so -- and I set forth in my April 2nd letter, the transfers
were made timely, in fact, slightly ahead of time with -- from

3 my account, and also from the 550 Park Avenue account, and it's

4 our position was that was the end of the turnover, and

5 regardless -- and there was no -- and as far as my

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 recollection, though I haven't reviewed the document, as Mr.

Worms stated, was that the turnover was denied -- I mean, the stay was denied, and that's why the transfers went forward.

THE COURT: All right. From reading the correspondence from Mr. Marks and Mr. Singer, it does appear to me that there remains a dispute about the scope of the turnover obligations by Mr. Singer, or Mr. Singer's clients. I'm not going to resolve those today. I certainly agree to putting further litigation on hold for 30 days while the parties try and complete a settlement.

Let me make a couple of comments here. So with respect to any property that remains subject to dispute with respect to the turnover order, I think there are a couple of issues that clearly arise. The recognition order in the Markus case was entered on April 1, 2019, and it's ECF docket number 29.

With respect to the separate freeze order that Judge Vyskocil had entered, I didn't note the ECF docket number but the order was entered in 2019. Mr. Marks, in his correspondence certainly has taken the position that any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transfers made of property of the debtor after the recognition order on April 1, 2019 is void, again I'm not ruling today, I'm just noting the position taken by Mr. Marks with respect to the recognition order, and I think in my turnover order, I specifically recognize -- I think I specifically recognized, and did state that any transfer would be void after the -- and I'm not altering what I've already ruled, so that certainly raises questions that may have to be further addressed in subsequent decisions.

With respect to the issue about any fees that were paid to -- and I think this specifically focuses on Mr. Singer for now because that's what's addressed in the correspondence, but it could equally relate to fees paid to Mr. Worms after the date of the recognition order. The automatic stay is triggered upon recognition.

I would call to -- with respect to attorney's fees, I would call to counsel's attention the decision in the Southern District of New York in SEC v. Princeton Economic International, Ltd., 84 F.Supp 2d 443 (S.D.N.Y. 2000). It was an opinion that Judge Richard Owen, who has since passed away but he specifically ordered a turnover of funds that had been paid to attorneys and I won't further go into an analysis of the opinion. If the issues -- I raise that now because there's obviously a dispute between certainly Mr. Singer and Mr. Marks as to whether or not fees that were paid to counsel would have

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 21

to be turned over. And in this SEC v. Princeton Economics

International, Ltd., that is certainly an issue that Judge Owen
addressed in his written opinion. He also -- he cites other
cases, including a decision by then District Judge Denny Chin,
who is now a judge on the Second Circuit in another case.

So I just call that opinion to counsel's attention, if the Court -- if it's necessary to have further proceedings with respect to the payment of attorney's fees, that -- or any fees that were certainly paid after the recognition order, and we'll -- I'm not going to deal with that today, other than to point out that decision, and note the difference of opinion.

So to be clear, and I would ask Mr. Marks to prepare a proposed order. I really want to avoid any issues about what the Court's ruling, et cetera. So prepare a proposed order that provides a thirty-day stay on discovery, and other litigation deadlines in the cases, and this would apply to both the Chapter 15 cases and the two adversary proceedings.

And I'm going to require written status reports -obviously, I don't want to know the details of the settlement
positions of the parties, but certainly do want to know whether
a settlement is reached. So I am going to require written
status reports on or before Friday, May 7, 2020, at 5 p.m., or
sooner if a settlement has been reached.

And let me -- I do want to ask, the only thing I want to ask about the settlement problem, and I want to address this

```
to Mr. Marks, in Mr. Singer's letter, he indicates that Dentons
is counsel for the foreign representatives with respect to
pursuing the settlements, and is that accurate, Mr. Marks?
```

MR. MARKS: It is accurate, Your Honor, that there's an attorney from Dentons' office in Moscow, although he might be outside Moscow now, has been the drafter of the settlement agreement, and he has had I think two conference calls with Mr. Singer, one most recently. However, it's not accurate to say that we're not in -- well, while he's the drafter of it, our law firm is involved with the settlement. We're aware of the outstanding issues. We're aware of the current draft. There's been delays. I'm not going to lay blame on anybody. I don't think that helps the Court, but as we sit here today, there's no settlement. There's still substantial issues that are out there, and our client instructed us that we should be given another 30 days, and if there's no settlement, then they want to go forward.

MR. SINGER: Your Honor, this is Daniel Singer. May I respond --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SINGER: May I respond?

THE COURT: So what I would ask is -- go ahead, Mr.

23 Singer. Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

MR. SINGER: So I differ with Mr. Marks, and again I've been primarily involved in this, there aren't any

substantive issues that are still at sync (ph.). What are at issue at this point are mechanical issues, and it was an extremely productive conversation that was had last Monday. The complication had arisen, how to get -- certain misunderstandings of how things worked here versus in Russia, and I think we largely resolved a lot of those, and where we left it with Mr. Hinds was he was going to consult with his client, and get me a redraft, but it's not really substantive issues, they're mechanical, and I think that I just want to be clear on that. So you know, that's the differing point with Mr. Marks.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't want to -- thank you very much, Mr. Singer, and it's not my intention to inject myself into any of the settlement discussions as I may have to be a finder of fact with respect to disputed issues of fact in the case.

Mr. Singer, in your letter you raised the issue about mediation. The issue gets complicated here because this is a multi-jurisdiction, multi-country series of issues. What I would say is is that if counsel agree, and certainly mediation can be helpful in many matters, and you can also -- you obviously can agree consensually upon a mediator.

I guess the one thing I want to make clear today is that I am agreeing to a 30-day pause in litigation while efforts to reach a settlement are ongoing.

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 24

Mr. Marks, I want you and Mr. Singer to confer by telephone over the next few days to work on a proposed schedule that would kick in, if no settlement is reached within the thirty-day period.

I recognize that the COVID-19 pandemic creates difficulties for the Court, and for all counsel, and I'm very mindful of that. I do think that despite the pandemic and the difficulties it presents, that there are many things that can move forward if the litigation has to move forward.

For example, there's still not been an answer filed.

There's no reason that that can't happen. And with respect to discovery, some things become more difficult, others there's no reason that they can't go ahead.

So Mr. Singer, and Mr. Marks, you do need to confer by telephone, and try and reach agreement on a schedule that would go forward starting in thirty days if no settlement is reached. I've indicated that I want the written status reports by 5 p.m. Friday, May 7th, or sooner if there is a settlement reached, but otherwise it's going to be necessary to move forward.

With respect to the turnover disputes, and I -- from reading Mr. Singer's letter, it appears that a substantial amount of funds have been turned over, but it's obvious from reading the correspondence, that there remains a dispute about whether there are additional funds or assets that are required to be turned over.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let me ask Mr. Marks first. One of the things -you know, under current circumstances, I'm certainly prepared to pause litigation over the turnover of funds that have not yet been turned over that Mr. Marks, his view is it has to be turned over, and Mr. Singer's view, it doesn't, but my concern is there is either -- whether there is a freeze order or other order in place that would prevent a further transfer of funds that Mr. Marks contends should be turned over.

What I don't want -- what I want to be sure of is, I'll agree to pause litigation about turnover of funds if the pause is not used as a basis for any other transfers, whether it's out of attorney trust accounts or otherwise. Mr. Marks' position about what are the consequences of the revocation of the LM Trust, what are the consequences of the recognition order. I've pointed out to everybody the Princeton Economics decision by Judge Owen. I just want to be sure that if the litigation is paused, that the foreign representative is not disadvantaged because further action to remove funds from this jurisdiction or from recovery by the foreign representative occur.

Mr. Marks, do you want to address that first? MR. MARKS: Your Honor, this is Mr. Marks, and I appreciate the opportunity. It is something that we are concerned about, and in a nutshell, the focus on the money is that there was approximately 1.3 million dollars that was --

the difference between the money that came from England, which 1 2 I'll just -- this is on page 6 of my letter, Your Honor, 5.38 million dollars came from the sale of Ms. Markus's property in 3 4 England. Of that, 1.3 million dollars has not been transferred to us because it was paid out to various people, including the 5 6 Protax Entities. That is -- those proceeds were Ms. Markus's 7 property, and Mr. Bykov has directed after April 1st, 1.378 million of that to go to part himself, and in part to lawyers 8 and other people, and we want to recover that, and we think 10 that that falls within your turnover order because it was property of Ms. Markus.

So we know that Mr. Bykov also received a substantial amount of money from the sale of Ms. Markus's property in London, which he arranged to have paid to BG Atlantic, which we think is -- which is an entity which we believe that he controls, and we think that there should be some assurance by Mr. Bykov that he's retaining the 1.3 million dollars someplace in the United States, so that it's not being dissipated. That's our concern because there's a history, unfortunately, of dissipation in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me just -- and I've opened --Mr. Marks, I've opened your letter to page 6 and --

MR. MARKS: Yes.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- under turnover dispute with Bykov, it indicates \$1,378,420.92 --

MR. MARKS: Right.

THE COURT: -- and listed as Markus -- you paid proceeds disbursed from LM Trust account. Is it your belief that those funds, at least were after the recognition order, in accounts in the United States?

MR. MARKS: No doubt about it. They were transferred after April 1st -- Your Honor, they were transferred, I believe on March 29th, so therefore they were in the account, and they had not been transferred out of the LM account as of April 1st.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Singer, are those funds still present in the United States?

MR. SINGER: The 1.3 million dollars, it's a multi-tiered question. I mean, no, by Mr. Marks's own correspondence, I mean, a lot of this money has been spent in the ordinary course of business. So it's not in the United States. There is some money that has been frozen. Right now, there's 231,000 dollars in my escrow account. As you recall, Your Honor, some accounts were closed, okay, and there's 231,000 dollars in my escrow account for this.

Some of that money, I indicated in one of my -- in Mr. Bykov's affidavit to the motion that about 36,000 dollars in the LM -- and of that money had been frozen out of an abundance of caution because that constituted monies that had been transferred from the sale of the proceeds. So within that money that has been the "frozen", that 36,000 dollars.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe that -- and this is something we need to confirm, I believe that Protax itself also had frozen some monies after the freezing order went into effect based on the freezing order. I'd have to confirm the exact amount of that. I wasn't actually involved in that, counseling at that point. Rosenberg & Estis was dealing with that at that point.

So I think that there's some freeze -- I mean, there's some monies there. As far as the money that was paid to me goes, that money has -- it was incurred even -- most -- almost all of it was incurred prior to the freeze order going into effect, and the remainder was incurred in -- that money doesn't exist at this point. So that's why I can say about how much money is frozen at this time.

THE COURT: Mr. Marks, you and Mr. Singer need to discuss this issue further. As I say, I'm certainly prepared to pause the litigation for thirty days but in doing so, I'm only willing to do that so long as funds as to which the foreign representative claims the turnover was required, are not further transferred or dissipated.

So Mr. Marks, you need to try and see if you can reach an agreement with Mr. Singer. It may require that he obtain the information about what funds are where, et cetera. If -- I mean, I think that a pause in litigation is appropriate, so long as the foreign representative is not further disadvantaged by any further transfer of funds. To the extent funds have

been transferred properly or improperly in the past, the 1 2 foreign representative certainly may well have his rights as to going after whatever parties received those funds, as to 3 4 whether they can be recovered, but the one thing that I want to be crystal clear about is that a pause cannot be used as a 5 6 justification for any further transfer of funds that are 7 subject to the automatic stay because of recognition, because they were Larisa Markus' property. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So as I say, I'm happy to agree to a thirty-day pause in litigation but not insofar as it would further disadvantage the foreign representatives. So Mr. Marks, and Mr. Singer, now is not the time for me to resolve it. You need to see whether you can come to an agreement about it. If you can't, and if Mr. Marks, you wish to proceed in some fashion to either freeze funds, or obtain the turnover of funds, please notify the Court in a letter, and we'll promptly schedule further proceedings to the extent necessary.

MR. MARKS: Your Honor, this is Mr. Marks.

THE COURT: Mr. Marks, do you want to comment further, and then I'll give Mr. Singer a chance. Go ahead.

MR. MARKS: Yeah, I do, Your Honor. Your Honor, I appreciate your flagging this. It is an area of concern At this -- we, I guess delayed pursuing this because of the settlement but we don't want there to be the risk of further harm.

The only thing, Your Honor, I would add is when -- if we need to move forward with this which we can, it's not difficult for us to file another turnover motion directed at the 1.3 million, but we would most likely want to take a short deposition of Mr. Bykov. It would probably be two to three hours. It would only be focused on the issue of the funds that would be subject to the turnover. I don't think that it would require the production of many documents, so there would be no need for people to go in their offices. Most documents are electronic anyhow, but I just do want to flag that one issue for the Court because we would want to get Mr. Bykov's testimony because as Your Honor knows, we did have some issues before where amended tax returns were filed on the eve of the turnover hearing, and we don't want to see something like that happen again. THE COURT: Mr. Marks, are you still there? MR. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor. MR. SINGER: This is Mr. Singer. May I respond? THE COURT: Mr. Marks, are you there? MR. MARKS: Yes, yes, Judge. THE COURT: Hang on. No, let me -- one of the issues -

23 MR. MARKS: I'm here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: Yeah, let me finish, and then I'm going to ask Mr. Marks to continue, and then I will give Mr. Singer a

chance to respond. One of the issues about the technology, I have you connected through my computer, and it went to sleep, and the result was that Mr. Marks, you sort of cut off in the middle of your remarks. It may well have been recorded but just so that I have the benefit of it, I would ask you to repeat it, and then Mr. Singer, I absolutely will give you a chance to respond.

This is as to the issue -- the last that I heard before you cut off at my end, Mr. Marks, was that you thought that you needed a short deposition of Mr. Bykov. Is there something you want to add after that?

MR. MARKS: Your Honor again, this is Mr. Marks. Yes, Your Honor. What I think I was saying was it's not a big deal. We could file a motion for turnover, which would be directed at this specific property. We may be able to get some of the property back directly from the attorneys who have it. We've been negotiating with the Kingsley -- Mr. Kingsley.

However, Your Honor, what we would want would be to I think take a two-to-three-hour deposition of Mr. Bykov, again only related to the issue of what's in the turnover motion. In part, Your Honor, we don't want to have another problem where there's a story one day, and then it changes. Your Honor may recall on the eve of the turnover hearing, that Mr. Bykov filed "amended tax returns" for 550 Park Avenue, and some other entities, and we'd like to get his testimony down, so that Your

Honor would then be able to rule if there is a dispute. That's what I was saying, Judge.

And it can be done, obviously --

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Singer, go ahead.

MR. MARKS: -- remotely.

THE COURT: You wanted to respond.

MR. SINGER: Okay. This is Mr. Singer --

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Singer.

MR. SINGER: -- responding. Yeah, so a couple of issues, Your Honor. So first of all, as I set forth in my letter, we would be severely prejudiced at this point if we had to go forward to defend this motion in this current environment. I'm working remotely. I have some access to documents, not everything.

Mr. Bykov has a compromised immune system for -- I'm not going to into with the Court, but he is staying durations that's outside the City of New York. I believe he's somewhere in the Hudson Valley. And Protax itself has also worked remotely and has limited access to documents and other information.

So given how severe this motion is, and I would say this for any motion, but given how severe, my position is that this -- in all fairness, there's no situation where I can go forward until things return to some sort of normalacy (sic). That's number one.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number two, as far as the deposition of Mr. Bykov goes, again until things get returned to some sort of situation of normalacy (sic), there's no situation where I could see in fairness, the deposition of Mr. Bykov going forward.

You know, first of all, an in-person deposition is out of the question in the current environment. I don't think it's even legal to be quite honest. Number two, a virtual deposition would be -- I'd be severely prejudiced by having to defend my client remotely.

Number three, and I'm sorry, I have to say this, and I set it forth in my letter, I find the timing of this request to be extremely disturbing, and frankly rather shameful. I mean what is happening here is they could've done this -- first of all, I don't really believe Mr. Marks thinks he's entitled to this money because if he did, nobody in their right mind would've waited six months to try to get over two million dollars without doing anything. So the logic itself vitiates their argument.

Number two, I mean to bring this up in the current environment, okay, is just unacceptable to me, and it's completely improper, and I what think they're doing here is that they're trying to utilize the Court to get some sort of leverage in the settlement negotiation, and using the coronavirus as a way of doing that when we're sort of down in our defenses.

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 34

So in the unlikely event that this should go forward at all, and I would -- and again in my letter, I said it should not, my position very strongly is it has to wait until we get to some sort of situation of normalacy (sic) which hopefully for -- I'm sure everybody agrees on this phone call, will hopefully happen sooner rather than later, but until that time, I just can't properly defend any such application of the sort.

THE COURT: May I ask you this question, Mr. Singer?
MR. SINGER: Uh-hum.

THE COURT: Let's assume that Mr. Bykov had in an account the \$1,378,420.92 that Mr. Marks identifies in his unpaid six of his April 2 letter, just assume that for a second. Do you believe that Mr. Bykov should be free to transfer that money outside the United States to the Caribbean or someplace else tomorrow?

MR. SINGER: Well, I don't -- first of all, to the best of my knowledge, and again I obviously have no personal knowledge of this, and I'm not going to go into privileged communication, but there is no 1.3 million dollars sitting into an account. By Mr. Marks' own records, okay, that he had gotten from us, that money had already been sent to various transfers in the ordinary course of business.

So without attempting to brief any arguments on this phone call, I mean obviously as I've hinted in multiple letters, one of the "defenses" to this would be it was spent in

the ordinary course of business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So this idea that there's some fund sitting around somewhere is just not the case. As I said, there is some -there is about \$231,000 in my escrow account, of which some of it, about 36- was "frozen", okay, but there is the 231, and that's not going anywhere, that's in my escrow account. That's not being touched obviously, okay.

And then, I believe there was some money that Protax froze, and I can get a confirmation on how much they have that's frozen, but beyond that, there isn't this one -- if there's an idea that somehow there's 1.3 from these proceeds sitting around somewhere, that's not -- I think I could say, that's just not the case, even just looking at Mr. Marks' own correspondence. It was already transferred and spent.

THE COURT: Well first off, let me say that I don't know of any exception to the effect of Section 362 applying upon the recognition of the foreign main proceeding, which is what happened, and so I don't know of any ordinary course of business exception to the automatic stay that applied upon recognition. I'm not making any determination whether the funds at issue were or were not subject to the automatic stay upon the entry of the recognition order on April 1, but it clearly is an issue.

So Mr. Singer, I don't think your statement that well, of course he could pay money out of it in the ordinary course

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 36

of business, I don't know of any exception. Perhaps you're able to brief that issue as a defense to any transfers that were made after April 1. That's not for the Court to decide today.

What I am directing is -- I understand, Mr. Singer, that the coronavirus pandemic creates significant problems for everybody, including the Court. I entered an order in another matter last week where a deposition of two individuals that I ordered to be limited to four hours each, go forward, and the order provided for remote taking of the deposition. Yes, that presents some issues, I understand that, but the pandemic --I'm not saying this is what's being engaged in here, the pandemic and the problems that it creates for the Court and for the parties can't be used as an excuse to permit improper transfers of funds.

What you, Mr. Singer, and you, Mr. Marks, need to discuss is whether you can reach an agreement, it may require you to make some more disclosures, Mr. Singer, about where did the money go, when did it go, was it after recognition on April 1st? Was there funds of the Markus estate? There's no estate in a Chapter 15 case, the property in the United States that would be subject to the stay that came into effect on recognition.

And if you can't reach an agreement, Mr. Marks, you can address the issue in a letter to the Court before the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 37

status letter that I've asked for. I hope that you're all able to reach a settlement, and I don't doubt that the next thirty days can best be spent trying to reach the settlement. If Mr. Marks, you're able to put off this issue of litigating over the 1.378 million dollars while the settlement goes forward, I hope you're able to do that.

But Mr. Singer, just shouting pandemic isn't -- and the problems that creates, which I acknowledge, cannot be used as a justification for keeping out of the reach of the foreign representative, property that is subject to turnover, okay. We won't dwell on that further now. I'm directing you, Mr. Marks, and you, Mr. Singer, to have a further discussion about it, and see whether you can either agree to pause that issue as well for the thirty days or otherwise, but otherwise, Mr. Singer, it is not going to -- you know, I will -- we'll have, if we have to have another telephone hearing, we will, but let's see whether you and Mr. Marks are able to reach an agreement about it.

So let me see, I have some other notes of questions that I had that I want to deal with.

MR. SINGER: Your Honor, may I respond very briefly, just one point?

THE COURT: Go ahead. First identify yourself on the record.

MR. SINGER: I'm sorry, it's Daniel Singer. I just

had one point to highlight.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SINGER: Okay. Yeah, so far as -- and I'm not going to reiterate what I've already said, and it's already -- most of it's in my letter, so I don't belabor the Court with that, but I will say that as far as where the money has gone, Mr. Marks knows where this money is, it's actually in his letter, because he has the transfers outlined, and it's already been disclosed. So there's no mystery about where this money has gone. I'm happy -- and again, I'm happy to discuss with Mr. Marks for any illumination he might like on that but it's not like at this point there's some sort of mystery to that. There's been full disclosure.

I just had a question and perhaps, and I apologize if I missed this, when did you want Mr. Marks -- there's a status report due on May 7th, but when did you want Mr. Marks and I to report back to you? I'm sorry.

THE COURT: What I indicated is that I do want the written status -- this is separate from this 1.378 million dollars. There I want the two of you to see whether you can agree to pause that issue for the next thirty days or not, and that, one or both of you ought to file a status letter with respect to just that issue, okay?

What I wanted, and what I ordered is I want a written status report from whoever wants to file one by on or before

Friday, May 7th at 5 p.m., or sooner if the settlement is 1 2 reached. That really goes to the entire dispute, and if there is no settlement, how you move forward. I've directed that the 3 4 two of you, Mr. Singer and Mr. Marks, talk about if no 5 settlement is reached by the what is -- by the end of 6 thirty-day period, what should be the schedule going forward. 7 I view that as a separate issue than I do this issue that Mr. Marks has raised with respect to the further turnover 8 9 immediately, okay? I hope that's responsive to your question,

MR. SINGER: I'm sorry, I do. It is. But I want to be clear, so I want to make sure I understand it. So I'm sorry, I understand the May 7th letter, but the other letter where we're supposed to update the Court on what's going to happen with the schedule if it doesn't succeed with settlement, and the one point -- if we need to involve the Court with the 1.3, as you said, with the turnover issues, when do you want that filed with the Court?

THE COURT: I want the two of you to confer, and I want you to deal specifically with the issue of the turnover of the 1.378 million, and if Mr. Marks wants to go forward with further proceedings promptly, not after thirty days, the letter ought to indicate that, and if we have to have a further telephone conversation, we will.

MR. SINGER: Okay.

Mr. Singer. It was a fair question.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It seems to me that the issues of the global settlement are a broader set of issues than just the 1.378 million dollars. Is that clear, Mr. Singer?

MR. SINGER: Yes, and I will also say in the letter, I mean without going into detail of the settlement, that none of that -- and this is the problem I'm having with this, and I respect what Your Honor is saying, and I understand it, is that this not part --

THE COURT: Now you're being repetitive, Mr. Singer.

MR. SINGER: I apologize.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Singer?

MR. SINGER: I apologize.

THE COURT: Mr. Singer, okay, you can stop now. I think I've been clear about what I want. I don't want to hear any further argument about it.

MR. SINGER: Uh-hum.

THE COURT: Mr. Marks --

MR. MARKS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: -- are there other issues that we need to take up today?

MR. MARKS: Your Honor, just on this issue, may we have until Friday, Your Honor, for Mr. Singer and I to meet and confer, and to report back to Your Honor on the -- what I will call the 1.3 million dollar issue?

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MR. MARKS: Okay. Your Honor, I just --

THE COURT: And I don't expect the two of you to meet other than by telephone.

MR. MARKS: No, absolutely, Your Honor. I just want to say one thing, all of us are affected by the pandemic, okay? We put in our letter about that, but you know --

THE COURT: Mr. Marks? Mr. Marks?

MR. MARKS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Mr. Marks? Mr. Marks?

MR. MARKS: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I don't want to hear any more about the pandemic, okay?

MR. MARKS: Fair enough, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Are there other issues that I need to take -- so you're going to prepare the -- a proposed order for the thirty-day pause.

MR. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Just so we get that clear, filed on the record. There's no delay in that. You'll do that within the next couple of days. I want to see that, okay?

MR. MARKS: I don't think Mr. -- well, I will work with Mr. Singer on it because I understand the issues with Mr. Worms are not going to be subject to that stay order, right, Your Honor? I'm sorry, you --

THE COURT: What is it that you intend to proceed?

No, Mr. Worms, we're going to deal with in a couple -- in a minute, Mr. Marks, a schedule for going forward on the issues That's not subject to this thirty-day pause. on remand.

MR. MARKS: Fair enough. So then I will confer with Mr. Singer on it. I don't think that there's -- I don't believe that there's anything, I'll double-check, but I don't think there's anything that we've set forth in our letter that would impact Mr. Worms, but we'll -- I'll check that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARKS: I understand what Your Honor wants me to do. So I think the answer to Your Honor's question is we'll submit a proposed stay order to Your Honor in the next couple days. We will have a proposed order to Your Honor on what to do after thirty days, if it doesn't settle. We'll have that to Your Honor by I think May 7th, and Mr. Singer and I will report back to the Court by Friday where we stand on the 1.3 million.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now let's deal with the issues on remand from Judge Liman's decision of last Friday. On that score, Mr. Marks, and Mr. Worms, the two of you need to confer by telephone, and try and reach an agreement on the schedule for this Court to go forward on the issues on remand from Judge Liman.

On that score, the schedule should include one additional filing by each of you. You should agree on the 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 43

dates, addressing the issues that Judge Liman has sent back to this Court on remand.

If you're unable to reach an agreement on that schedule by this Friday, and it is not subject to the thirtyday pause, you can each submit written proposed schedules by 5 p.m. Friday, and I will consider each of those, and enter an order with the schedule.

So again to be clear, on the issue -- on the question of the issues on remand from Judge Liman, with respect to sanctions for contempt, and attorney's fees to Mr. Marks' firm, you are to confer -- the two of you are to confer and seek to reach an agreement on the schedule that will apply. The schedule should include one additional filing by each of you.

And in terms of a hearing date, after I receive -- I will set a further hearing after seeing the proposed schedule. The proposed schedule can leave open a date for a telephone hearing on the issues on remand.

Mr. Marks, do you understand that?

MR. MARKS: This is Mr. Marks. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Worms, do you understand that?

MR. WORMS: I understand what the Court said, but I have one issue if I might raise it, Judge, and that has to do with the additional filing. As Mr. Singer indicated, and I am not seeking to suggest that the pandemic can be used prophylactically, but I am at a disadvantage, Your Honor,

because in order to make a substantive filing, I need access to a law library. I usually use the NYU Law School Library, where I went to school, and I also have documents in my office which

So I hope the Court, and I hope Mr. Marks will give some consideration to that in whatever schedule is proposed to the Court because I am at a disadvantage by my inability to have access to a functioning law library.

THE COURT: Do you have an account with Lexis or Westlaw?

MR. WORMS: I have online access, Judge, but I am from the old school, and we research initially by books. I go to the library at NYU. I sit there. I research, Judge. It is how I've done it all my life as a lawyer. I understand that the Court wants to move ahead, and I'm not seeking to delay that, Judge, but I do feel I am at a disadvantage by virtue of my inability to access a law library.

So I will leave it to the Court. I understand --

THE COURT: Mr. Worms?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I will need access to.

MR. WORMS: If I might, Your Honor, I'm not --

THE COURT: Mr. Worms, do you have -- Mr. Worms, please answer my question. Do you have an account with Westlaw or Lexis, yes or no?

THE COURT: With neither of them?

MR. WORMS: I do not.

MR. WORMS: I do not, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you and Mr. Marks will confer on the schedule, and if you can't agree, you can submit separate letters dealing with it, and I will take those into account in setting a schedule.

MR. WORMS: May I raise one other issue?

THE COURT: Anything else on the issue of -- go ahead.

MR. WORMS: This is Mr. Worms. Your Honor, I did have occasion to read the Court's transcript from the July 4th hearing in the adversary proceeding where you indicated that given the settlement posture of the case, you would not be disinclined to entertain a motion to vacate as respect to the orders that are the predicate for the sanctions and legal fees. I just want to know, is the Court still inclined to entertain a motion to vacate or is the Court not so inclined, Judge?

THE COURT: I don't know what was said on that transcript. I don't have it in front of me. If you have a position about it, Mr. Worms, put it in writing, and I'll consider it.

MR. WORMS: Okay. Very good, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. But the only other thing I would ask one of my law clerks who, they're on the phone, is with respect to Mr. Worms' motion to be relieved as counsel, one of you can prepare an order that denies that motion without prejudice --

MR. WORMS: Can I ask one --

THE COURT: -- and I've indicated the reasons that -no, just stop, Mr. Worms. I'm denying the motion without
prejudice because the Court has been directed by the district
court to address issues on remand from Judge Liman. I would
add additionally, that Mr. Worms is counsel of record on an
appeal of the turnover order that's pending before Judge
Torres, and until that appeal is resolved, I will not relieve
Mr. Worms as counsel of record for Ms. Markus in the Chapter 15
case before me.

So an order will be prepared by one of my clerks.

I'll review it, and I will enter an order on the docket with respect to that motion to be relieved.

Mr. Worms, what else did you want to say?

MR. WORMS: Judge, and this -- the issue I want to raise is, Judge, my motion to be relieved, or to withdraw, goes to the issue of payment. So the Court is suggesting that I should work for free, and I should be an indentured servant here with no compensation until such time as the appeal is resolved before Judge Torres. I think that's particularly unfair to me, Judge.

Mr. Marks gets paid. Mr. Singer gets paid. I have to work, essentially like a slave with no compensation, and I made a motion to the Court specifically because I'm not being paid, and you've just said Your Honor, that I am going to stay on

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 47

this case until such time as Judge Torres resolved the trust appeal. I think that would obligate me, not only to write the reply briefs but to argue them all for free, Judge. I think that is patently unfair, but I guess the double standard continues to be applied to me, especially being held in criminal contempt by this court.

THE COURT: Have you moved to be relieved as counsel in the matter before Judge Torres?

MR. WORMS: I have not yet done so, Judge, but you've already denied my motion, and you're going to issue an order essentially saying I cannot not leave this case until that appeal is resolved. So it essentially locks me in for the indefinite future, Judge, as a lawyer without compensation in -- vis-a-vis of the Thirteenth Amendment which as the Court well knows, is the very amendment that dealt with the issue of indentured servitude.

THE COURT: Do you intend to move to be relieved in the appeal before Judge Torres, or you're going to go ahead and proceed with that case?

MR. WORMS: Judge, I don't know what that situation is. What I would like, Judge, is to have a determination on the merits of my motion with respect to being withdrawn. I don't think that the one has to do with the other. You can still proceed with the remand --

THE COURT: I just denied the -- Mr. Worms -- Mr.

Worms, I've denied your motion without prejudice.

All right. Any other matters for today?

MR. WORMS: What about my compensation, Judge?

THE COURT: I don't pay you, Mr. Worms, and the fact that you are counsel of record in this case, as of last Friday there are issues on remand from Judge Liman specifically addressing you. I am going to address the issues on remand. I've directed you and Mr. Marks to try and reach an agreement on a schedule. If you can't, I will set the schedule.

I am not relieving you as counsel of record in the Chapter 15 case until I have resolved the issues that are on -that were sent back to me last Friday on remand from Judge
Liman. You are also counsel of record on an appeal from an
order before me, whether that issue -- whether that's affirmed
or overruled, we'll have to wait and see. That's the ruling
for today.

Is there anything else you want to lay out on the record, Mr. Worms? Go ahead. I will give you another one more minute.

MR. WORMS: Nothing further, Judge. I think the record speaks for itself.

MR. MARKS: Your Honor, this is Mr. Marks. May I just add one other thing?

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. MARKS: Your Honor, I just wanted to add in terms

FOREIGN ECONOMIC INDUSTRIAL BANK; LARISA IVANOVNA MARKUS 49 of Mr. Worms, there's also the Rule 11 motion that's pending against him. We haven't submitted our reply brief yet. I just didn't want Your Honor to lose track of that. THE COURT: Okay. I clearly had. All right. Mr. Singer, anything else for today? MR. SINGER: No, Your Honor, thank you. THE COURT: All right. Bear with me just a second, please. All right. We are in recess until 2 o'clock this afternoon. Thank you very much all of you. MR. SINGER: Thank you. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded)

	1 g 00 01 01	50
1		
2	INDEX	
3	RULINGS: PAGE LINE	
4	Mr. Worms' motion to withdraw is 12 22	
5	denied without prejudice.	
6	acazzou mzessoue projudzest	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	eScribers, LLC (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net	
	operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net	

CERTIFICATION I, Linda Ferrara, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Linea Ferrara Linda Ferrara (CET-656) AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber eScribers 352 Seventh Ave., Suite #604 New York, NY 10001 Date: April 9, 2020

	addressed (3)	Alternatively (1)	27:7,9;34:12;35:22;	38:17;40:23;42:17;
#	20:8,12;21:3	16:15	36:3,19	43:1;48:12
	addresses (1)	although (2)	area (1)	Bank (4)
#194 (1)	18:15	11:11;22:5	29:22	3:2,14;6:3;7:14
3:4	addressing (2)	amended (2)	argue (1)	Bankruptcy (5)
#604 (1)	43:1;48:7	30:13;31:24	47:3	2:9,20;10:18;11:2,
3:22	adjournments (1)	Amendment (2)	argued (1)	3
	14:9	47:14,15	11:20	based (1)
\$	Adv (1)	amount (3)	argument (2)	28:3
Ψ	2:3	24:22;26:13;28:4	33:18;40:15	basis (2)
\$1,378,420.92 (2)	Adversary (8)	analysis (1)	arguments (1)	14:7;25:11
26:25;34:11	3:10,16;6:4;7:18,	20:22	34:23	Bear (1)
\$231,000 (1)	21,25;21:17;45:10	apologies (1)	arise (1)	49:7
35:4	advise (1)	18:19	19:19	become (2)
33.1	12:1	apologize (3)	arisen (1)	11:13;24:12
&	affected (1)	38:14;40:10,12	23:4	becomes (2)
u	41:5	appeal (19)	around (2)	10:11,12
₽-a (1)	affidavit (1)	11:9,11;12:2;	35:2,12	began (1)
&q (1)	27:21	13:14,15;14:5,6,11;	arranged (1)	16:1
3:3	affirmed (4)	16:3,4,17,17;46:7,8,	26:14	belabor (1)
A	8:22,23;11:17;	19;47:2,12,18;48:13	Article (3)	38:5
A	48:14	appealable (2)	11:8,14,15	belief (1)
(0)	afternoon (2)	12:7,24	assets (1)	27:3
able (8)	9:1;49:9	appealed (2)	24:24	benefit (1)
16:6;31:15;32:1;	again (13)	13:23,24	assume (3)	31:5
36:2;37:1,4,6,17	6:22;7:10;10:5;	appeals (11)	14:24;34:10,12	best (2)
absolutely (3)	20:2;22:24;30:15;	13:17,22;14:1,2,		34:17;37:3
31:6;40:25;41:4			assumed (1)	
abundance (1)	31:12,19;33:2;34:2,	16,18;15:17,20,21,	16:10	beyond (1)
27:22	17;38:10;43:8	24;16:7	assurance (1)	35:10 P C (1)
access (7)	against (2)	appear (1)	26:16	BG (1)
32:13,19;44:1,4,8,	9:20;49:2	19:10	Atlantic (1)	26:14
11,17	Agency (2)	appearance (4)	26:14	big (1)
account (17)	3:3;6:9	6:22;7:9,12,17	attempting (1)	31:13
17:11,12,17;19:3,	Agency&q (1)	appearances (2)	34:23	blame (1)
3;27:3,8,9,17,19;	3:15	6:6,18	attention (2)	22:12
34:11,20;35:4,6;	agree (10)	appearing (2)	20:17;21:6	books (1)
44:9,22;45:5	17:19;19:13;	7:13,21	Attorney (3)	44:12
accounts (3)	23:20,22;25:10;	appears (1)	5:3;22:5;25:12	both (10)
25:12;27:5,18	29:9;37:13;38:21;	24:21	attorneys (2)	8:7,12;13:18;14:9;
accurate (3)	42:25;45:3	appellate (1)	20:22;31:16	15:19,21;16:3,6;
22:3,4,8	agreeing (1)	14:8	attorney's (4)	21:16;38:22
acknowledge (1)	23:24	appellate's (1)	13:18;20:16;21:8;	Bowling (1)
37:8	agreement (12)	15:22	43:10	2:10
act (2)	10:5;22:7;24:15;	application (1)	authority (4)	brief (10)
act (2) 7:24;11:6	28:21;29:13;36:17,	34:7	7:22;11:6,11,15	14:8,13;15:23;
7:24;11:0 action (1)	24;37:17;42:21;	applied (2)	authorization (1)	16:3,12,12,16;34:23
	43:3,12;48:8	35:19;47:5	7:24	36:2;49:2
25:18	agrees (1)	applies (1)	automatic (4)	briefly (3)
actually (3)	34:5	11:22	20:14;29:7;35:19,	9:15;18:25;37:21
18:1;28:5;38:7	ahead (22)	apply (3)	21,7,25.7,33.15,	briefs (1)
add (6)	6:24;7:12;10:22,	11:21;21:16;43:12	Avenue (3)	47:3
10:15;30:1;31:11;	22,22;15:16;17:8;	applying (1)	3:22;19:3;31:24	bring (1)
46:6;48:23,25	18:24;19:2;22:22,	35:16	avoid (1)	33:19
addition (1)	23;24:13;29:20;		21:13	broader (2)
10:6	23;24:13;29:20; 32:4,8;37:23;38:2;	appreciate (2)		* *
additional (5)		25:23;29:22	aware (2)	11:12;40:2
8:9;24:24;42:25;	44:15;45:7;47:18;	appropriate (1)	22:10,11	Broadway (1)
43:13,23	48:18,24	28:23	away (1)	5:4 D (1)
additionally (1)	al (4)	approximately (1)	20:20	Bruce (1)
46:6	2:5;3:11,17;6:5	25:25		6:8
address (8)	almost (1)	April (20)	В	business (5)
13:13,20;15:16;	28:9	2:13;8:6,8,10,16,		27:15;34:22;35:1,
	altering (1)	17,20;9:22;13:16,21;	back (7)	19;36:1
21:25;25:21;36:25;	20:7	19:1,20;20:2;26:7;	15:11;31:16;	button (1)

	Whites, et al.			119111 0, 2020
15:15	48:11	15:25;25:5;26:19;	16:6	10:18;11:1,18;
Bykov (13)	chart (2)	29:22	copy (3)	47:6
26:7,12,17,24;	13:17,20	concerned (1)	16:13,13;17:1	crystal (1)
30:5;31:10,19,23;	check (1)	25:24	coronavirus (3)	29:5
32:15;33:1,4;34:10,	42:8	conclude (1)	15:8;33:24;36:6	current (5)
13	Chin (1)	12:9	CORPORATION (4)	22:11;25:2;32:12;
Bykov's (2)	21:4	concluded (1)	2:2;3:3,15,17	33:6,19
27:21;30:11	Circuit (8)	49:11	correspondence (6)	currently (1)
,- ,	11:9,13;12:2,7,8,	conducting (1)	19:10,25;20:12;	13:14
C	24;13:2;21:5	18:2	24:23;27:14;35:14	cut (2)
	circumscribed (1)	confer (9)	counsel (15)	31:3,9
call (7)	11:7	24:1,14;39:19;	6:6;12:20;13:22;	31.3,5
10:13;20:16,17;	circumstances (1)	40:23;42:4,21;43:11,	17:13;20:25;22:2;	D
21:6;34:5,24;40:24	25:2	11;45:2	23:20;24:6;45:23;	
called (1)	cites (1)	Conference (6)	46:6,9;47:7;48:5,10,	Daniel (3)
18:3	21:3	3:4,8,12,18;15:2;	13	6:25;22:18;37:25
calls (1)	City (1)	22:7	counseling (1)	date (4)
22:7	32:17	conferences (1)	28:5	
				8:7;20:14;43:14,
came (3)	Civil (1)	8:4	counsel's (2)	16
26:1,3;36:22	11:4	confirm (2)	20:17;21:6	dates (1)
can (30)	claims (1)	28:2,4	couple (6)	43:1
9:12;14:2;16:14,	28:18	confirmation (1)	19:16,18;32:9;	day (3)
25;18:10;23:21,21,	clear (11)	35:9	41:20;42:1,13	18:4;31:22;43:5
22;24:8;28:12,20;	7:20;18:10;21:12;	connected (1)	course (6)	days (14)
29:4,13;30:2;32:3,	23:10,23;29:5;	31:2	27:15;34:22;35:1,	8:15,16;19:14;
23;35:9;36:17,25;	39:12;40:3,14;	connection (1)	18,25,25	22:16;24:2,16;
37:3,13;38:20;	41:18;43:8	7:24	Court (151)	28:16;37:3,14;
40:13;43:5,16,24;	clearly (3)	consensually (1)	2:9;6:2,15,20,22,	38:21;39:22;41:20;
45:3,24;46:1;47:23	19:19;35:23;49:4	23:22	24;7:3,5,7,9,12,17,	42:14,15
Caribbean (1)	clerks (2)	consent (1)	23;8:1,21;9:2,4,9,16,	deadlines (1)
34:14	45:22;46:11	14:25	23;10:1,14,18,20,22;	21:16
Case (23)	client (3)	consequences (2)	11:2,3,5,7,8,14,15,	deal (11)
3:4,8,12,18;8:3,4;	22:15;23:8;33:9	25:13,14	16,18;12:1,3,15,23;	12:4,7,19;13:8;
13:24;16:13,14;	clients (1)	consequently (1)	13:6,8,14;14:3,15;	16:17;21:10;31:13;
19:20;21:5;23:16;	19:12	12:6	15:5,13,16;16:9,20;	37:20;39:20;42:1,18
26:20;35:3,13;	closed (1)	consider (2)	17:1,8,16,20,24;	dealing (2)
36:21;45:11;46:10;	27:18	43:6;45:19	18:2,2,4,5,6,7,16,20,	28:6;45:4
47:1,11,19;48:5,11	co-extensive (1)	consideration (1)	22,24;19:9;21:7;	dealt (1)
cases (7)	11:14	44:6	22:13,20,22;23:12;	47:15
6:3;8:3,7,9;21:4,	comment (1)	consolidated (1)	24:6;26:21,24;27:2,	debtor (2)
16,17	29:19	16:2	10;28:14;29:15,19;	7:13;20:1
caution (1)	comments (1)	consolidating (1)	30:11,16,19,21,24;	decide (1)
27:23	19:16	15:24	32:4,6,8,16;33:22;	36:3
	commonly (1)	constituted (1)	34:8,10;35:15;36:3,	
central (2)				decision (14)
11:12,13	7:1	27:23	7,13,25;37:23;38:2,	8:25;9:1,5;10:17,
certain (1)	communication (1)	constitutional (1)	5,18;39:14,16,18,19;	25;11:10,24;12:6,12;
23:4	34:19	11:2	40:1,9,11,13,17,19,	20:17;21:4,11;
certainly (11)	compensation (4)	consult (1)	25;41:2,7,9,11,14,18,	25:16;42:19
19:13,25;20:7,24;	46:19,23;47:13;	23:7	25;42:10,17,18,22;	decisions (1)
21:2,9,20;23:20;	48:3	contempt (6)	43:2,20,21;44:5,7,9,	20:9
25:2;28:15;29:2	complete (1)	10:18;11:1,19;	15,18,19,21,25;45:2,	defend (3)
cetera (2)	19:15	12:13;43:10;47:6	7,14,15,16,21;46:2,4,	32:12;33:9;34:7
21:14;28:22	completely (1)	contends (1)	5,17,24;47:6,7,14,17,	Defendants (1)
Ch (2)	33:21	25:8	25;48:4,24;49:4,7	2:6
3:3,7	complicated (1)	continue (2)	court's (10)	defense (1)
chance (5)	23:18	8:14;30:25	10:17,25;11:23,	36:2
9:13;10:24;29:20;	complication (1)	continues (1)	24;15:6,9;17:11,11;	defenses (2)
31:1,7	23:4	47:5	21:14;45:9	33:25;34:25
31.1,/		controls (1)	COVID-19 (1)	delay (3)
	compromisea (1)			
changes (1)	compromised (1) 32:15		24:5	16:16:41:19:44:15
changes (1) 31:22	32:15	26:16	24:5 creates (4)	16:16;41:19;44:15 delayed (2)
changes (1) 31:22 Chapter (8)	32:15 computer (1)	26:16 conversation (2)	creates (4)	delayed (2)
changes (1)	32:15	26:16		

LARISA IVANOVNA	MARKUS, et al.			April 6, 2020
22:12	diaglagura (1)	27.6.27.2	antition (6)	autont (4)
	disclosure (1)	27:6;37:2	entities (6)	extent (4)
denied (9)	38:13	down (2)	6:19;7:1,2;15:20;	10:10;11:5;28:25;
12:22;16:21;17:5,	disclosures (1)	31:25;33:24	26:6;31:25	29:17
14;19:7,8;47:10,25;	36:18	draft (1)	entitled (1)	extremely (2)
48:1	discovery (7)	22:11	33:14	23:3;33:12
denies (1)	8:13;10:10;14:20;	drafter (2)	entity (1)	.
45:24	15:1;16:19;21:15;	22:6,9	26:15	F
Denny (1)	24:12	due (2)	entry (1)	
21:4	discuss (7)	11:19;38:16	35:22	fact (4)
Dentons (1)	8:25;9:12,17;15:1;	durations (1)	environment (3)	19:2;23:15,15;
22:1	28:15;36:17;38:10	32:16	32:13;33:6,20	48:4
Dentons' (1)	discussion (1)	dwell (1)	equally (1)	fair (4)
22:5	37:12	37:11	20:13	11:17;39:10;
denying (1)	discussions (2)	_	escaping (1)	41:13;42:4
46:3	8:17;23:14	E	14:20	fairly (1)
DEPOSIT (5)	disinclined (1)		eScribers (1)	11:25
2:2;3:3,15,17;6:9	45:12	earlier (1)	3:21	fairness (2)
deposition (9)	dispute (7)	18:18	escrow (4)	32:23;33:4
30:5;31:10,19;	19:11,17;20:24;	ECF (2)	27:17,19;35:4,6	falls (1)
33:1,4,5,8;36:8,10	24:23;26:24;32:1;	19:20,23	especially (1)	26:10
despite (1)	39:2	Economic (4)	47:5	familiar (1)
24:7	disputed (1)	3:2,14;6:3;20:18	ESQ (1)	15:9
detail (2)	23:15	Economics (2)	5:8	far (5)
10:8;40:5	disputes (1)	21:1;25:15	essentially (6)	19:5;28:8;33:1;
details (3)	24:20	effect (4)	8:22;11:16;15:25;	38:3,6
10:12;11:24;21:19	dissipated (2)	28:3,11;35:16;	46:23;47:11,12	fashion (1)
determination (2)	26:18;28:19	36:22	estate (2)	29:14
35:20;47:21	dissipation (1)	effectively (1)	36:20,20	Federal (1)
determine (1)	26:20	15:10	Estis (1)	11:4
11:5	district (11)	efforts (1)	28:6	fee (1)
differ (1)	10:17,25;11:18,	23:25	et (6)	13:18
22:24	24;12:23;13:14;	either (4)	2:5;3:11,17;6:5;	feel (2)
difference (2)	14:3,3;20:18;21:4;	13:1;25:6;29:14;	21:14;28:22	10:6;44:16
21:11;26:1	46:4	37:13	eve (2)	fees (10)
different (4)	disturbing (1)	elaborate (1)	30:13;31:23	8:23;11:20;20:10,
13:15;14:3,16,18	33:12	10:12	even (5)	13,16,25;21:8,8;
differing (1)	Doc (1)	electronic (1)	15:24;18:16;28:9;	43:10;45:13
23:10	3:4	30:10	33:7;35:13	Ferrara (1)
difficult (2)	Doc# (3)	else (6)	event (2)	3:20
24:12;30:3	3:8,12,18	9:8;34:15;45:7;	12:9;34:1	few (2)
difficulties (2)	docket (4)	46:14;48:17;49:5	everybody (5)	10:16;24:2
24:6,8	17:5;19:20,23;	email (1)	10:11;18:13;	file (11)
directed (7)	46:12	18:5	25:15;34:5;36:7	7:17;12:1;15:22;
17:12;26:7;30:3;	document (1)	end (4)	everybody's (1)	16:2,12,12,16;30:3;
31:14;39:3;46:4;	19:6	18:4;19:4;31:9;	15:8	31:14;38:22,25
48:8	documents (5)	39:5	exact (1)	filed (9)
directing (2)	30:8,9;32:14,19;	engaged (1)	28:4	8:5;11:9;14:13;
36:5;37:11	44:3	36:12	example (1)	15:20;24:10;30:13;
directly (1)	dollar (1)	England (2)	24:10	31:23;39:18;41:18
31:16	40:24	26:1,4	exception (3)	files (3)
disadvantage (4)	dollars (14)	enough (2)	35:16,19;36:1	16:12;18:5,7
29:10;43:25;44:7,	25:25;26:3,4,17;	41:13;42:4	excuse (1)	filing (7)
16	27:12,17,19,21,25;	enter (2)	36:14	8:9;15:2;16:6;
disadvantaged (2)	33:17;34:19;37:5;	43:6;46:12	exist (1)	42:25;43:13,23;44:1
25:18;28:24	38:20;40:3	entered (5)	28:12	final (1)
disagree (1)	done (4)	17:4;19:20,23,24;	expect (1)	12:6
12:3	32:3;33:13;44:14;	36:7	41:2	find (1)
disagreement (1)	47:9	entertain (2)	extension (5)	33:11
8:14	double (1)	45:12,14	14:14;15:2,7;16:5,	finder (1)
disbursed (1)	47:4	entire (1)	5	23:15
27:3	double-check (1)	39:2	extensions (6)	finding (1)
disclosed (1)	42:6	entirely (1)	14:8,10,12,22;	11:18
38:9	doubt (2)	12:13	16:1,8	fine (1)
30.7	- Cant (2)	12.13	10.1,0	

Anril 6 2020	ı

	viritives, et un	T	T	11p111 0, 202
8:1	frozen (7)	35:18	25;44:20;45:8,20;	46:18;47:16
finish (1)	27:16,22,25;28:2,	happening (1)	46:25;48:22,25;49:3,	
30:24	13;35:5,10	33:13	6	39:23
firm (2)	FSupp (1)	happy (3)	Honor's (1)	indicated (6)
22:10;43:10	20:19	29:9;38:10,10	42:12	24:17;27:20;
First (12)	full (1)	hard (1)	hope (5)	38:18;43:23;45:10;
6:6,18;8:13,19;	38:13	18:14	37:1,5;39:9;44:5,5	46:2
25:1,21;32:10;33:5,	functioning (1)	harm (1)	hopeful (1)	indicates (2)
13;34:16;35:15;	44:8	29:25	6:13	22:1;26:25
37:23	fund (1)	hear (4)	hopefully (2)	individuals (1)
fit (2)	35:2	6:20;7:7;40:14;	34:4,6	36:8
9:25;16:18	funds (21)	41:11	hours (2)	Industrial (2)
five (1)	20:21;24:22,24;	heard (1)	30:6;36:9	3:2,14
13:20	25:3,7,10,18;27:4,	31:8	Hudson (1)	information (3)
flag (1)	10;28:17,22,25,25;	hearing (11)	32:18	8:11;28:22;32:20
30:10	29:3,6,15,15;30:6;	9:19;13:3;18:1,3;		inherent (3)
flagging (1)	35:21;36:15,20	30:14;31:23;37:16;	I	11:6,11,15
29:22	further (29)	43:14,15,17;45:10		initially (1)
focus (1)	8:10;9:6,17;10:2;	hearings (2)	idea (2)	44:12
25:24	12:16,23;19:14;20:8,	18:2,9	35:2,11	inject (1)
focused (1)	22;21:7;25:7,18;	Heinz's (1)	identifies (1)	23:13
30:6	28:15,19,24,25;29:6,	10:4	34:11	in-person (1)
focuses (1)	10,16,19,24;37:11,	held (4)	identify (3)	33:5
20:11	12;39:8,22,23;40:15;	10:18;11:1,18;	18:10,17;37:23	INS (2)
Foreign (15)	43:15;48:20	47:5	identifying (1)	2:2;3:17
3:2,14;6:3,7,9;	furthermore (1)	Hello (3)	18:13	insofar (1)
17:13;22:2;25:17,	11:19	6:21;7:4,8	III (2)	29:10
19;28:18,24;29:2,11;	future (1)	helpful (1)	11:8,15	instructed (1)
35:17;37:9	47:13	23:21	illumination (1)	22:15
forth (5)		helps (1)	38:11	Insurance (3)
17:22;19:1;32:10;	G	22:13	immediately (1)	3:3,15;6:9
33:11;42:7		highlight (2)	39:9	intend (2)
forward (21)	gets (3)	11:25;38:1	immune (1)	41:25;47:17
12:11,25;13:3;	23:18;46:22,22	himself (1)	32:15	intent (1)
19:8;22:17;24:9,9,	given (4)	26:8	impact (1)	16:12
16,19;30:2;32:12,24;	22:15;32:21,22;	Hinds (1)	42:8	intention (1)
33:4;34:1;36:9;37:5;	45:11	23:7	important (1)	23:13
39:3,6,21;42:2,22	GLENN (2)	hinted (1)	18:8	interject (1)
found (3)	2:19;6:2	34:24	impose (1)	16:25
10:17;11:1,2	global (1)	history (1)	11:3	International (2)
FOUNDATION (3)	40:2	26:19	improper (2)	20:19;21:2
2:5;3:10,17	goes (5)	hit (3)	33:21;36:14	interrupt (1)
four (4)	28:9;33:2;37:5;	15:11,14,15	improperly (2)	12:16
8:15;13:20,25;	39:2;46:16	hold (3)	12:25;29:1	into (12)
36:9	good (1) 45:20	9:4;17:24;19:14	inability (2) 44:7,17	10:8;18:3;20:22;
Frankly (2) 14:23;33:12	granted (3)	HON (1) 2:19	inaccurate (1)	23:14;28:3,10; 32:16;34:18,19;
free (3)	14:9,24;16:11	honest (1)	12:13	36:22;40:5;45:4
34:13;46:18;47:3	Green (1)	33:7	inclined (2)	involve (1)
freeze (5)	2:10	Honor (65)	45:14,15	39:16
19:22;25:6;28:7,	Gregory (1)	6:8;7:11,16,19,25;	include (2)	involved (3)
19:22,23:0,28:7,	14:21	8:21,24;9:3,10,11,	42:24;43:13	22:10,25;28:5
freezing (2)	guess (3)	13,15,25;10:16;	included (1)	issue (35)
28:3,4	23:23;29:23;47:4	11:12;13:7;14:5;	8:20	9:20;15:8,17;
Friday (12)	23.23,29.23,47.4	15:18;16:25;17:9;	including (3)	20:10;21:2;23:2,17,
8:21;9:1;21:22;	Н	18:19;22:4,18;	21:4;26:5;36:7	18;28:15;30:6,10;
		25:22;26:2;27:7,18;	incorrect (1)	31:8,20;35:21,23;
24.18.39.1.40.22.			17:5	36:2,25;37:4,13;
24:18;39:1;40:22; 42:17 19:43:4 6:	Hang (1)	29.18 21 21.30.1 12		
42:17,19;43:4,6;	Hang (1)	29:18,21,21;30:1,12, 17:31:12,13,18,21.		
42:17,19;43:4,6; 48:5,12	30:21	17;31:12,13,18,21,	incurred (3)	38:21,23;39:7,7,20;
42:17,19;43:4,6; 48:5,12 front (1)	30:21 happen (4)	17;31:12,13,18,21, 22;32:1,10;37:21;	incurred (3) 28:9,10,11	38:21,23;39:7,7,20; 40:21,24;43:8,22;
42:17,19;43:4,6; 48:5,12 front (1) 45:17	30:21 happen (4) 24:11;30:15;34:6;	17;31:12,13,18,21, 22;32:1,10;37:21; 40:7,18,21,22,23;	incurred (3) 28:9,10,11 indefinite (1)	38:21,23;39:7,7,20; 40:21,24;43:8,22; 45:6,7;46:15,17;
42:17,19;43:4,6; 48:5,12 front (1)	30:21 happen (4)	17;31:12,13,18,21, 22;32:1,10;37:21;	incurred (3) 28:9,10,11	38:21,23;39:7,7,20; 40:21,24;43:8,22;

	1			1 /
13:19		likely (1)	March (1)	meant (1)
issues (41)	\mathbf{L}	30:4	27:8	15:14
8:24;9:11,21;		Liman (14)	MARKS (101)	mechanical (2)
11:10;12:20;13:3,	large (1)	8:22;9:18;12:5,11,	6:8,8;7:20;8:15,	23:2,9
12;15:4,6;16:1;	10:10	17;13:2,4,18;42:23;	19,21;9:8,10,21,22;	mediation (2)
19:19;20:23;21:13;	largely (1)	43:1,9;46:5;48:6,13	11:20;14:10;15:1,11,	23:18,20
22:11,14;23:1,2,9,	23:6	Liman's (3)	11,14,18;16:23;	mediator (1)
15,19;30:12,22;31:1;	Larisa (5)	9:5;11:10;42:19	19:10,24;20:3,24;	23:22
32:10;36:11;39:17;	3:6;5:3;6:4;7:14;	Limited (5)	21:12;22:1,3,4,24;	meet (2)
40:1,2,19;41:14,22;	29:8	3:2,14;6:3;32:19;	23:11;24:1,14;25:1,	40:22;41:2
42:2,19,22;43:1,9,	LARMAR (4)	36:9	4,8,21,22,22;26:22,	merits (1)
17;46:5;48:6,7,11	2:5;3:10,17;6:5	Linda (1)	23;27:1,6;28:14,20;	47:22
items (1)	last (6)	3:20	29:11,14,18,18,19,	middle (1)
13:24	23:3;31:8;36:8;	line (2)	21;30:16,17,19,20,	31:4
Ivanovna (1)	42:19;48:5,12	6:12,14	23,25;31:3,9,12,12;	might (5)
3:6	late (1)	listed (1)	32:5;33:14;34:11;	17:6;22:5;38:11;
	9:1	27:2	36:16,24;37:4,11,17;	43:22;44:20
J		lists (1)	38:7,11,15,16;39:4,	million (15)
	later (3)	13:25	8,21;40:17,18,21;	25:25;26:3,4,8,17;
joined (1)	9:13,19;34:6	litigating (1)	41:1,4,7,7,8,9,9,10,	27:12;30:4;33:16;
6:15	LAW (7)	37:4	13,17,21;42:2,4,11,	34:19;37:5;38:19;
JUDGE (70)	5:2;22:10;44:2,2,	litigation (10)	20;43:18,19,19;44:5;	39:21;40:3,24;42:17
2:20;6:2;8:22;9:5,	8,17;45:22	19:14;21:16;	45:2;46:22;48:8,22,	mind (1)
	lawyer (2)			
18;10:21,24;11:10,	44:14;47:13	23:24;24:9;25:3,10,	22,25	33:15
24;12:5,11,14,17;	lawyers (1)	17;28:16,23;29:10	Marks' (10)	mindful (1)
13:2,4,18;14:7,11,	26:8	little (2)	8:6,12;11:16;	24:7
13,17,19,21,21,22,	lay (2)	9:19;18:14	13:16,21,25;25:12;	minute (2)
25;15:11,21;16:14,	22:12;48:17	LLC (1)	34:20;35:13;43:10	42:2;48:19
20,21;17:4,6,14;	lays (1)	3:21	Marks's (2)	missed (1)
19:22;20:20;21:2,4,	9:22	LM (6)	17:17;27:13	38:15
5;25:16;30:20;32:2;	least (1)	7:1;15:20;25:14;	Markus (12)	mistaken (1)
41:13;42:19,23;43:1,	27:4	27:3,9,22	3:6;5:3;6:4,11;	17:2
9,22;44:11,13,16;	leave (3)	locks (1)	7:14;13:24;15:19;	misunderstandings (1)
45:1,15;46:5,7,15,	43:16;44:18;47:11	47:12	19:19;26:11;27:2;	23:5
16,20,21;47:1,3,8,9,	left (1)	logic (1)	36:20;46:9	Monday (1)
13,18,20,21;48:3,6,	23:7	33:17	Markus' (1)	23:3
12,20	legal (3)	London (1)	29:8	money (23)
judges (3)	11:20;33:7;45:13	26:14	Markus's (3)	17:12,15;25:24;
13:15;14:4,16	lengthy (1)	long (3)	26:3,6,13	26:1,13;27:14,16,20,
July (1)	9:6	8:13;28:17,24	MARTIN (1)	22,25;28:8,9,11,13;
45:9		longer (1)	2:19	33:15;34:14,21;35:8,
jurisdiction (2)	letter (38)	8:18	matter (6)	25;36:19;38:6,7,9
12:10;25:19	8:7,8,10,12,13,13,	looking (1)	12:5,9;13:4,19;	monies (4)
justification (2)	16,17,20;9:22,24;	35:13	36:8;47:8	17:10;27:23;28:3,
29:6;37:9	10:5;13:16,21,25;	lose (1)	matters (5)	8
29.0,37.9	17:23;19:1;22:1;	49:3	12:25;13:13,25;	months (1)
K	23:17;24:21;26:2,			33:16
N.	22;29:16;32:11;	lot (3)	23:21;48:2	
1 (4)	33:11;34:2,12;	12:3;23:6;27:14	May (31)	more (6)
keeping (1)	36:25;37:1;38:5,8,	Ltd (2)	6:6;8:22,22;9:15;	10:12;11:7;24:12;
37:9	22;39:13,13,22;40:4;	20:19;21:2	13:10;18:6,16,21,23;	36:18;41:11;48:18
Khan (1)	41:6;42:7	3.4	20:8;21:22;22:18,	Moscow (3)
6:12	letters (3)	M	21;23:14;24:18;	6:13;22:5,6
kick (1)	15:5;34:25;45:4		28:21;29:2;30:18;	most (6)
24:3	leverage (1)	main (1)	31:4,15,22;34:8;	8:23;22:8;28:9;
Kingsley (2)	33:23	35:17	36:17;37:21;38:16;	30:4,9;38:5
31:17,17	Lexis (2)	making (1)	39:1,13;40:21;	motion (26)
knowledge (2)	44:9,23	35:20	42:16;45:6;48:22	12:18,19,22;17:5,
34:17,18	library (5)	Management (5)	maybe (1)	7,9,13;27:21;30:3;
knows (4)	44:2,2,8,13,17	3:4,8,12,18;8:4	17:2	31:14,20;32:12,21,
11:7;30:12;38:7;	life (1)	manner (1)	mean (12)	22;45:12,15,23,24;
47:15	44:14	18:6	10:4,8;15:6;19:7;	46:3,13,16,24;47:10,
	light (1)	many (3)	27:13,14;28:7,23;	22;48:1;49:1
			_ · · · - · , - · , - · · , - · ,	,
	9:18	23:21;24:8;30:8	33:12,19;34:24;40:5	move (7)

Δni	.:1 <i>C</i>	20	20

	viritives, et un	T	I	
24:9,9,19;30:2; 39:3;44:15;47:17	note (2) 19:23;21:11	ongoing (1) 23:25	11:23;12:10;21:1; 24:2,22,25;25:3,4,5,	permit (1) 36:14
moved (1)	notes (1)	online (1)	8;33:16;37:4	personal (1)
47:7	37:19	44:11	overruled (1)	34:17
MP3 (2)	notice (1)	only (13)	48:15	ph (2)
18:5,7	12:1	7:14,15;8:25;	Owen (3)	10:4;23:1
much (7)	notify (1)	11:22;14:5,13;	20:20;21:2;25:16	phone (4)
8:2;11:7,12;23:13;	29:15	21:24;28:17;30:1,6;	own (3)	10:13;34:5,24;
28:12;35:9;49:9	noting (1)	31:20;45:21;47:2	27:13;34:20;35:13	45:22
multi-country (1)	20:3	open (1)		place (2)
23:19	number (7)	43:16	P	10:9;25:7
multi-jurisdiction (1)	19:20,23;32:25;	opened (2)		Plaintiff (1)
23:19	33:1,7,10,19	26:21,22	page (5)	2:3
multiple (1)	nutshell (1)	operations@escribersnet (1)	9:1;13:16,21;26:2,	please (5)
34:24	25:24	3:25	22	6:6,18;29:15;
multi-tiered (1)	NY (2)	opinion (8)	pages (1)	44:22;49:8
27:13	3:23;5:6	9:4,6,14;20:20,23;	13:16	plural (1) 8:3
myself (1) 23:13	NYU (2) 44:2,13	21:3,6,11	paid (12) 20:11,13,22,25;	8:3 pm (4)
mystery (2)	44.2,13	opportunity (1) 25:23	20:11,15,22,25; 21:9;26:5,14;27:2;	21:22;24:17;39:1;
38:9,12	0	opposed (1)	28:8;46:22,22,24	43:6
	O .	15:24	pandemic (9)	point (17)
N	obligate (1)	order (50)	24:5,7;36:6,11,13;	11:12;12:5;13:7;
	47:2	8:23,23;13:17,18,	37:7;41:5,12;43:24	14:24;15:10;16:15;
name (1)	obligations (1)	23;14:6,12,19;15:21,	Park (2)	21:11;23:2,10;28:5,
14:20	19:12	25;16:22;17:4,4,11,	19:3;31:24	6,12;32:11;37:22;
nature (1)	obtain (2)	12;18:5;19:18,19,22,	part (5)	38:1,12;39:16
11:11	28:21;29:15	24;20:2,4,4,14;21:9,	8:24;26:8,8;31:21;	pointed (1)
necessary (5)	obvious (2)	13,14;25:6,7,15;	40:8	25:15
10:11,13;21:7;	10:11;24:22	26:10;27:4;28:3,4,	particularly (1)	portion (2)
24:19;29:17	obviously (7)	10;35:22;36:7,10;	46:20	10:19;12:12
need (17)	20:24;21:19;	41:15,23;42:13,14;	parties (5)	portions (1)
9:23;10:6;18:16;	23:22;32:3;34:17,	43:7;44:1;45:24;	11:22;19:14;	11:25
24:14;28:1,14,20;	24;35:7	46:7,11,12;47:10;	21:20;29:3;36:14	position (8)
29:12;30:2,9;36:16;	occasion (1)	48:14	partly (2)	16:2;19:4,25;20:3;
39:16;40:19;41:14; 42:20;44:1,4	45:9	ordered (4) 8:5;20:21;36:9;	15:19,19	25:13;32:22;34:3; 45:18
42.20,44.1,4 needed (1)	occur (1) 25:20	38:24	passed (1) 20:20	positions (1)
31:10	o'clock (1)	orders (1)	past (2)	21:20
negotiating (1)	49:8	45:13	14:24;29:1	posture (1)
31:17	off (4)	ordinary (5)	patently (1)	45:11
negotiation (1)	31:3,9;35:15;37:4	27:15;34:22;35:1,	47:4	predicate (2)
33:23	OFFICE (5)	18,25	pause (13)	11:20;45:13
neither (1)	5:2;6:12,13;22:5;	others (1)	23:24;25:3,10,11;	prejudice (4)
44:25	44:3	24:12	28:16,23;29:5,9;	12:22;45:25;46:4;
New (6)	offices (1)	otherwise (4)	37:13;38:21;41:16;	48:1
2:11,11;3:23;5:6;	30:9	24:19;25:12;	42:3;43:5	prejudiced (2)
20:18;32:17	old (1)	37:14,14	paused (1)	32:11;33:8
next (5)	44:12	ought (2)	25:17	prepare (4)
24:2;37:2;38:21;	One (51)	38:22;39:23	pay (2)	21:12,14;41:15;
41:20;42:13	2:10;10:25;12:5;	out (13)	35:25;48:4	45:24
Nina (1)	13:7,12,23;14:5,13,	9:23;13:2;21:11;	payment (2)	prepared (5)
6:12 nobody (1)	18,20,22,25;15:7,7; 16:6,9,12,13,13,13;	22:14;25:12,15; 26:5;27:9,22;33:5;	21:8;46:17 pending (10)	8:25;9:11;25:2; 28:15;46:11
33:15	17:21;18:8;22:8;	35:25;37:9;48:17	12:18;13:17,18;	present (1)
none (1)	23:23;25:1;27:20;	outlined (1)	14:1,3,16,23;15:17;	27:11
40:5	29:4;30:10,21;31:1,	38:8	46:7;49:1	presents (2)
nonparty (1)	22;32:25;34:25;	outside (4)	people (3)	24:8;36:11
11:21	35:10;37:22;38:1,22,	15:2;22:6;32:17;	26:5,9;30:9	prevent (1)
normal (1)	25;39:16;41:5;	34:14	Perhaps (2)	25:7
18:6	42:24;43:13,22;45:6,	outstanding (1)	36:1;38:14	previously (1)
	42.24,43.13,22,43.0.	outstanding (1)		
normalacy (3)	22,23;46:1,11;47:23;	22:11	period (3)	8:5
normalacy (3) 32:24;33:3;34:4				

Min-U-Script®

LARISA IVANOVNA	MARKUS, et al.			April 6, 2020
22:25	put (3)	29:7;35:17,20,22;	17:1;18:14	responds (1)
Princeton (3)	37:4;41:6;45:18	36:19,23	reminder (1)	18:15
20:18;21:1;25:15			18:13	
	putting (1) 19:13	recognize (2) 20:5;24:5	remote (1)	response (3) 14:23;16:6,16
prior (2) 17:10;28:10	19:15		36:10	
· ·	Q	recognized (1) 20:5	remotely (4)	responsive (1) 39:9
privileged (1) 34:18	Q	recollection (1)	32:5,13,19;33:9	restrictions (1)
probably (1)	quite (4)	19:6	remove (1)	10:9
30:5	15:19;18:22,22;	record (11)	25:18	result (1)
problem (3)	33:7	18:10,17;37:24;	repeat (1)	31:3
21:25;31:21;40:6	33.1	41:19;46:6,9;48:5,	31:6	retained (1)
problems (3)	R	10,13,18,21	repetitive (2)	7:22
36:6,13;37:8		recorded (2)	18:16;40:9	retaining (1)
Proc (1)	raise (5)	18:4;31:4	reply (2)	26:17
2:3	13:12;20:23;	records (1)	47:3;49:2	return (1)
Procedure (1)	43:22;45:6;46:16	34:20	report (5)	32:24
11:4	raised (2)	recover (1)	38:16,17,25;	returned (1)
proceed (6)	23:17;39:8	26:9	40:23;42:16	33:2
9:10,24;29:14;	raises (1)	recovered (1)	reports (4)	returns (2)
41:25;47:19,24	20:8	29:4	8:5;21:18,22;	30:13;31:24
proceeding (7)	rather (2)	recovery (1)	24:17	review (5)
3:10,16;7:15,15,	33:12;34:6	25:19	represent (2)	9:5,6,13;10:4;
21;35:17;45:10	reach (13)	redraft (1)	6:9,10	46:12
proceedings (12)	23:25;24:15;	23:8	representative (7)	reviewed (3)
6:5;7:6,18,25;	28:20;36:17,24;37:2,	reference (1)	6:10;25:17,19;	8:6,11;19:6
9:18;12:17,23;21:7,	3,9,17;42:21;43:3,	7:14	28:18,24;29:2;37:10	revocation (1)
17;29:16;39:22;	12;48:8	referred (1)	representatives (3)	25:13
49:11	reached (7)	7:1	6:7;22:2;29:11	Richard (1)
proceeds (4)	21:21,23;24:3,16,	regarding (1)	representative's (1)	20:20
26:6;27:3,24;	18;39:2,5	8:8	17:13	right (23)
35:11	read (2)	regardless (2)	request (3)	6:2,16;8:1,3;
process (1)	10:25;45:9	10:9;19:5	8:13;14:9;33:11	11:19;12:3,21;13:5,
11:19	reading (4)	reiterate (1)	requested (3)	9;14:15;18:20,22,22;
production (1)	17:1;19:9;24:21,	38:4	14:9;16:8,9	19:9;27:1,16;33:15;
30:8	23	relate (1)	require (5)	41:23;42:18;48:2;
productive (1)	ready (2)	20:13	21:18,21;28:21;	49:4,7,8
23:3	16:15,16	related (1)	30:8;36:17	rights (2)
promptly (2)	really (4)	31:20	required (2)	11:2;29:2
29:16;39:22	21:13;23:8;33:14;	relating (1)	24:24;28:18	risk (1) 29:24
properly (2) 29:1;34:7	39:2	11:10 relieve (1)	reread (1) 10:25	Rosenberg (1)
29.1,34.7 property (11)	reason (2) 24:11,13	46:8	research (2)	28:6
19:17;20:1;26:3,7,	reasons (1)	relieved (5)	44:12,13	ROZHKOV (6)
11,13;29:8;31:15,16;	46:2	45:23;46:13,16;	resolve (2)	2:2;3:7,10,16;6:5,
36:21;37:10	rebut (1)	47:7,17	19:13;29:12	10
prophylactically (1)	9:24	relieving (1)	resolved (7)	Rule (6)
43:25	recall (4)	48:10	12:21;23:6;46:8,	11:4,21,22;16:14;
proposed (10)	16:24;17:2;27:17;	remainder (1)	20;47:1,12;48:11	32:1;49:1
21:13,14;24:2;	31:23	28:11	respect (23)	ruled (3)
41:15;42:13,14;43:5,	receive (3)	remains (3)	10:16;11:15;	16:9,10;20:7
15,16;44:6	9:4,5;43:14	19:11,17;24:23	12:13,17;19:17,18,	Rules (1)
Protax (7)	received (4)	remand (18)	22;20:3,10,16;21:8;	11:4
6:19;7:1;15:20;	8:5;14:23;26:12;	9:11,18;11:5;	22:2;23:15;24:11,	ruling (4)
26:6;28:2;32:18;	29:3	12:11,17,23;13:1;	20;38:23;39:8;40:7;	13:19;20:2;21:14;
35:8	recently (2)	42:3,19,22;43:2,9,	43:9;45:12,23;	48:15
provided (1)	16:9;22:8	17;46:5;47:24;48:6,	46:13;47:22	Russia (1)
36:10	recess (1)	7,12	respond (11)	23:5
provides (1)	49:8	remanded (5)	9:15;10:6;16:3;	
21:15	recitation (1)	8:24;12:5,10;13:4,	17:6;22:19,21;	S
pursuant (1)	12:12	19	30:18;31:1,7;32:6;	
11:6	recognition (14)	remarks (1)	37:21	sale (3)
pursuing (2)	19:19;20:1,4,14,	31:4	responding (1)	26:3,13;27:24
22:3;29:23	15;21:9;25:14;27:4;	remember (2)	32:9	salient (1)
	Í.	İ	1	İ

Anri	16	202	U

0:2;42:7; situation 3:9 16:11 3;34: six (4) 8:15; 34:12 slave (1) 46:23 sleep (1) 31:2 sightly 19:2 Sokolov 6:13 Solution 18:3, somehon 35:11 somepl 35:11	1;32:23;33:2, 24:447:20 24:16 STATE 22:33 3 stated (15:22 statement 11:16 States (2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1 20 stay (14	3:22;5 suppose 39:14 Sure (6) 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 3:22;5 suppose 39:14 Sure (6) 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 32:15 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 9:16;3	5:5 ed (1)) 15:8;25:9,16; 39:12
0:2;42:7; situation 3:9 16:11 3;34: six (4) 8:15; 34:12 slave (1) 46:23 sleep (1) 31:2 sightly 19:2 Sokolov 6:13 Solution 18:3, somehon 35:11 somepl 35:11	18:12	3:22;5 suppose 39:14 Sure (6) 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 3:22;5 suppose 39:14 Sure (6) 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 32:15 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 9:16;3	5:5 ed (1) 15:8;25:9,16; 39:12
3:9 16:11 3;34: six (4) 8:15; 34:12 slave (1 46:23 1:19,21,23, 10,14,16; 3:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; 2is (1) 16:11 3;34: slave (1 46:23 sleep (1 31:2 slightly 19:2 Sokolor 6:13 Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	1;32:23;33:2, 24:16; STATE 22:23 stated (15:22 stateme 11:16; V (1) V (1) States (2:9;2, 16;34 status (8:5;1, 22;24 38:15 ow (1) staty (14	g(1) suppose 39:14 Sure (6) 14:5;1 2) 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 6;17;35:24 7) 32:15 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 4:16;3	ed (1) 15:8;25:9,16; 39:12 (1)
3;34: six (4) 8:15; 34:12 slave (1) 44;15:7; 11:19,21,23, 10,14,16; 32:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; 8s (1) 3;34: slave (1) 46:23 sleep (1) 31:2 slightly 19:2 Sokolov 6:13 Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	24:16 STATE 213:20;33:16; 2 stated (15:22 stateme 11:16 States (2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1 22;24 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	39:14 Sure (6) 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 22:19:7 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 4:14;37:1; 4:14;37:1;	(1) (1) (1)
six (4) 8:15; 34:12 slave (1) 44;15:7; 1:19,21,23, 110,14,16; 5;24:3,16,18; 3:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; 8s (1) Solutio 18:3, somehological somephological so	STATE 2:2;3 stated (15:22 stateme 11:16 States (2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1 20;3 stated (2:9;2 16;34 status (8:5;1 22;24 38:15 stay (14	E (5) :3,15,16;20:6 2) :2;19:7 ent (3) :5,17;35:24 (7) :6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; Sure (6) 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 32:15 talk (2) 9:16;3) 15:8;25:9,16; 39:12 (1)
34:12 slave (1 46:23 1:19,21,23, 1:19,21,23, 1:19,21,23, 5:24:3,16,18; 3:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; sightly 19:2 Sokolov 6:13 Solutio 18:3, somehological somehological somephological solutions and somephological solutions and solutions and solutions are solved as a solution and solutions are solve	2:2;3 2 stated (15:22 3 statement	14:5;1 34:5;3 2;19:7 ent (3) 5;17;35:24 7) 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 14:5;1 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (3) 32:15 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1;	15:8;25:9,16; 39:12 (1)
34:12 slave (1 46:23 1:19,21,23, 1:19,21,23, 1:19,21,23, 5:24:3,16,18; 3:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; sightly 19:2 Sokolov 6:13 Solutio 18:3, somehological somehological somephological solutions and somephological solutions and solutions and solutions are solved as a solution and solutions are solve	2 stated (1) 15:22 3 statement 11:16 States (7 (1) 2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1 ons (2) 22;24 4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	2) 34:5;3 sync (1) 23:1 system (2) 32:15 5,17;35:24 system (3) 32:15 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) talk (2) 9:16;3	(1)
4;15:7; 1:19,21,23, 10,14,16; 5;24:3,16,18; 3:23;37:2,3, ,5,15;40:2,5; Sokolo 6:13 Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	3 statement 1) 11:16 States (2:9;2 16;34 16;34 v (1) status (ens (2) 22;24 38:15 38:15 stay (14	23:1 5,17;35:24 7) 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 23:1 system (32:15 talk (2) 9:16;3	(1)
1:19,21,23, 1:10,14,16; 5:24:3,16,18; 3:23;37:2,3, ,5,15;40:2,5; 2s (1) Solutio 18:3, someholisis someph	1) 11:16 States (2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1. ons (2) 22;24 4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	5,17;35:24 7) 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 9:16;3	
10,14,16; 5;24:3,16,18; 31:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; 5	States (2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1 ons (2) 22;24 4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	32:15 6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 4:18;(2) 9:16;3	
5;24:3,16,18; slightly 19:2 3:23;37:2,3, 19:2 Sokolor 6:13 Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	v (1) 2:9;2 16;34 v (1) status (8:5;1. ons (2) 22;24 ,4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	6:18;27:5,11, 4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; talk (2) 9:16;3	
3:23;37:2,3, 5,5,15;40:2,5; 3:s (1) Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	16;34 status (8:5;1 ons (2) 22;24 4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	4:14;36:21 10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; 4:18 (2) 9:16;3	T
5,5,15;40:2,5; Sokolov 6:13 Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	v (1) status (8:5;1 ons (2) 22;24 4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	10) 5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; talk (2) 9:16;3	
6:13 Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	8:5;1. 22;24 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	5:10;21:18, 4:17;37:1; talk (2) 9:16;3	
Solutio 18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	ons (2) 22;24 ,4 38:15 ow (1) stay (14	4:17;37:1; 9:16;3	
18:3, someho 35:11 somepl	38:15 ow (1) stay (14		
someho 35:11 somepl	ow (1) stay (14		39:4
35:11 somepl		5,19,22,25 tax (2)	
somepl			;31:24
		1;17:3,11,14; technolo	ogy (1)
		20:14;21:15; 31:1	(0)
		35:19,21; telephor	
2) sometin 3:8 18:14			9;24:2,15;
			;39:24;41:3;
	here (3) stayed (7;35:3,12 8:14	` '	;43:16
soon (1			IONICALLY (1)
10 16:11))
1) sooner			;48:25
		3;15:17; testimor	
39:1			;31:25
3:3;34:4 sorry (1);30:16;45:14; therefor	
	0:21;15:14; 47:24		(-)
	1;33:10;37:25; stop (4)		g (1)
		5;17:24; 9:12	, ()
41:24		3;46:3 Thirteen	nth (1)
sort (8)		47:14	
	;32:24;33:2, 31:22		
	4;34:4,7;38:12 strongl y		;28:16;37:2,
4:11,15,18; sought			:21;39:22;
	2;17:3 subject		
5,25;18:12, Southe		7;29:7;30:7; thirty- (.1)
3,25;19:10, 20:17		1;36:22;37:10; 43:4	
1,24;22:8,18, speak (3;42:3;43:4 thirty-d	
	1;18:12,21,23 submis	` '	;24:4;29:9;
14;27:10,12; speakir 1;29:11,20; 18:11			41:16;42:3
3,25;31:6; speaks			;18:16;19:6
	348:21 submitt		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		4:7;15:5;49:2 thought	(1)
1·36·5 16 18· specific	7;31:15 subsequ)
1;36:5,16,18; specific			3:20,24;
14,21,25,25; 11:17	cally (10) 20:9		;16:8;30:5;
14,21,25,25; 11:17 4,10,11,25; specific		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; ,10,11,12,13, 10:17	7;11:10; subsequ		
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; ,10,11,12,13, ::22;42:5,16; 11:17 specific 10:17 18:17	7;11:10; subsequ 7;20:5,5,11,21; 17:15	33:10	
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; ,10,11,12,13, ::22;42:5,16; 5:22;49:5,6,	7;11:10; subsequ 7;20:5,5,11,21; 17:15 0;46:24;48:6 substan	33:10 timely (3	
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; ,10,11,12,13, 1:22;42:5,16; 5:22;49:5,6, 5:22;49:5,6, spent (4)	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 0;46:24;48:6 4) subsequ 17:15 substan 22:14	33:10 timely (3) 4;24:21;26:12	3) 13;19:2
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; 10,11,12,13, 1:22;42:5,16; 6:22;49:5,6, 11) 7;9:24; 11:17 specific 10:17 39:20 spent (4 27:14 35:14	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 0;46:24;48:6 4) 4;34:25; 4;37:3 subsequ 17:15 substan 22:14 substan 23:1,	33:10 timely (3 4;24:21;26:12 titive (3) 8;44:1 33:11	3) 13;19:2 (1)
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; 10,11,12,13, 1:22;42:5,16; 5:22;49:5,6, 39:20; spent (4) 27:14; 7;9:24; 17:10; stand (5)	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 0;46:24;48:6 4) 22:14 4;34:25; 4;37:3 substan 23:1,i succeed	33:10 timely (3 4;24:21;26:12 ntive (3) 8;44:1 today (1	3) 13;19:2 (1)
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; 10,11,12,13, ::22;42:5,16; 6:22;49:5,6, 11) 7;9:24; 17:10; 2:1;24:21; 11:17 specific 10:17 39:20 spent (4 27:14 35:14 stand (1 42:17	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 0;46:24;48:6 4) 22:14 4;34:25; 4;37:3 23:1,; 5ubstan 23:1,; succeed 39:15	33:10 timely (3 4;24:21;26:12 ntive (3) 8;44:1 1 (1) 5 33:10 14:7,1 timing (33:11 today (1 8:4,9,4	3) 13;19:2 (1) (6) 25;11:9;
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; 10,11,12,13, ::22;42:5,16; 5:22;49:5,6, 39:20; spent (4) 27:14; 35:14; 17:10; 2:1;24:21; stand (1)	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 0;46:24;48:6 4) 4;34:25; 4;37:3 23:1, succeed 39:15 rd (1) substan 22:14 substan 23:1, succeed 39:15	33:10 timely (3 4;24:21;26:12 ntive (3) 8;44:1 1 (1) 5 (1) 33:11 today (1 8:4,9,9 12:2;1	3) 13;19:2 (1) 16) 25;11:9; 18:1;19:13;
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; 10,11,12,13, ::22;42:5,16; 5:22;49:5,6, 39:20 spent (4) 27:14 35:14 7;9:24; 17:10; 2:1;24:21; standar 47:4	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 0;46:24;48:6 4) 4;34:25; 4;37:3 23:1, succeed 39:15 rd (1) substan 22:14 substan 39:15 succeed 39:24	33:10 timely (3) 4;24:21;26:12 timing (33:11 today (1 8;44:1 5 (1) 12:2;1 4 20:2;2	3) 13;19:2 (1) 16) 25;11:9; 18:1;19:13; 21:10;22:13;
14,21,25,25; 4,10,11,25; 10,11,12,13, ::22;42:5,16; 5:22;49:5,6, 39:20; spent (4) 27:14; 35:14; 17:10; 2:1;24:21; stand (1)	7;11:10; 7;20:5,5,11,21; 17:15 0;46:24;48:6 4) 4;34:25; 4;37:3 23:1, succeed 39:15 rd (1) suggest 43:24	33:10 timely (: 14:7,1 timing (: 33:11 today (1: 8:4,9.; 1(1) 4:20:2;2 ting (1) 33:10 timely (: 14:7,1 timing (: 33:11 today (1: 8:4,9.; 20:2;2 23:23:	3) 13;19:2 (1) 16) 25;11:9; 18:1;19:13;
););), 1	0,10,11,12,13, 1:22;42:5,16; 16:22;49:5,6, (11) 10:1' 18:1' 18:1' 39:20 spent (27:14	1:22;42:5,16; 18:17;20:5,5,11,21; 17:15 16:22;49:5,6, 39:20;46:24;48:6 substant spent (4) 27:14;34:25; substant 22:14 substant	39:20;46:24;48:6 spent (4) 27:14;34:25; substantial (3) 22:14;24:21;26:12 substantive (3) timely (3) 14:7,1 timing (

today's (1)	41:2;42:20;43:11	11:1,19	12:22;18:12;	3:12,18
13:3	two-to-three-hour (1)	virtual (1)	33:17;34:23;40:5;	10:00 (1)
tomorrow (1)	31:19	33:7	45:24;46:3;47:13;	2:14
34:15	31.17	virtue (1)	48:1	10001 (1)
Torres (13)	U	44:16	Woods (3)	3:23
14:7,17,19,22;	•	vis-a-vis (1)	14:21,21,25	10006 (1)
15:22;16:14,21;	Uh-hum (2)	47:14	work (4)	5:6
17:4;46:8,20;47:1,8,	34:9;40:16	vitiates (1)	24:2;41:21;46:18,	11 (1)
18	unable (1)	33:17	23	49:1
touched (1)	43:3	Vladimirovich (1)	worked (2)	15 (11)
35:7	unacceptable (1)	3:6	23:5;32:18	3:3,7;6:3;7:6,15,
track (1)	33:20	Vn (2)	working (1)	15;8:7;21:17;36:21
49:3	under (3)	3:2,14	32:13	46:9;48:11
Transcribed (1)	11:4;25:2;26:24	Vneshprombank (1)	WORMS (80)	16-13534 (1)
3:20	unfair (2)	6:10	5:2,8;6:17;7:4,5,5,	6:4
transcript (3)	46:21;47:4	void (2)	6,8,9,11,13,13,19,24;	16-13534-mg (2)
18:6;45:9,17	unfortunately (1)	20:2,6	8:2;9:3,5,15,17,20;	3:2,14
transfer (8)	26:19	Vyskocil (1)	10:14,16,21,23,24;	19-01413 (1)
17:10,12,14;20:6;	United (7)	19:23	12:14,19;13:5,7,10,	6:5
25:7;28:25;29:6;	2:9;26:18;27:5,11,	W	13,22;14:2,5,21;	19-01413-mg (1)
34:14	15;34:14;36:21	VV	15:18,22,24;16:25;	3:10
transferred (10) 17:17,22;26:4;	unlikely (1) 34:1	woit (4)	17:3,6,8,9,16,17; 19:7;20:13;41:23;	19-01414 (1) 6:5
		wait (4) 9:17;16:20;34:3;	42:1,8,20;43:20,21;	0:3 19-01414-mg (2)
27:6,7,9,24;28:19; 29:1;35:14	unpaid (1) 34:12	48:15	44:11,19,20,21,21,	2:4;3:16
transferring (1)	up (4)	waited (1)	24;45:1,6,8,8,18,20;	19-10096 (1)
17:22	10:6;13:1;33:19;	33:16	46:1,3,6,9,14,15;	6:4
transfers (8)	40:20	waiting (1)	47:9,20,25;48:1,3,4,	19-10096-mg (1)
19:1,8;20:1;25:11;	update (6)	10:4	18,20;49:1	3:6
34:22;36:2,15;38:8	8:19;9:9,21;10:2,	wants (4)	Worms' (3)	1st (4)
tremendous (1)	3;39:14	38:25;39:21;	16:3,17;45:23	26:7;27:7,9;36:20
10:8	upon (5)	42:11;44:15	write (1)	2017,2717,57,00120
triggered (1)	20:15;23:22;	waste (3)	47:2	2
20:14	35:17,19,22	11:23;15:6,9	writing (1)	
trust (4)	use (1)	way (1)	45:18	2 (7)
25:12,14;27:3;	44:2	33:24	written (8)	8:6,8,16,17,20;
47:1	used (5)	week (1)	7:20;21:3,18,21;	34:12;49:8
trustee (1)	25:11;29:5;36:14;	36:8	24:17;38:19,24;43:5	2000 (1)
6:11	37:8;43:24	weeks (2)	wrong (1)	20:19
try (6)	using (2)	8:15,15	15:15	2019 (3)
19:14;24:15;	18:2;33:23	Westlaw (2)	T 7	19:20,24;20:2
28:20;33:16;42:21;	usually (1)	44:10,22	Y	2020 (4)
48:8	44:2	what's (5)	TT 1 (6)	2:13;8:6,10;21:22
trying (2)	utilize (1)	8:20;20:12;31:20;	York (6)	231 (1)
33:22;37:3	33:22	36:12;39:14	2:11,11;3:23;5:6;	35:5
turned (6)	V	Whereupon (1)	20:18;32:17 VIIDI (3)	231,000 (2)
21:1;24:22,25; 25:4,5,8	Y	49:11 willing (1)	YURI (3) 2:2;3:6,16	27:17,19 247 (1)
25:4,5,8 turnover (31)	vacata (2)	willing (1) 28:17	2.2,3.0,10	3:8
13:23;14:6,12,19;	vacate (2) 45:12,15	28:17 wish (4)	1	29 (1)
15:20;16:22;17:4;	vacated (1)	8:19;9:21;10:2;	1	19:21
19:4,7,11,18;20:4,	10:18	29:14	1 (4)	29th (1)
21;24:20;25:3,10;	Valley (1)	wishes (1)	19:20;20:2;35:22;	27:8
26:10,24;28:18;	32:18	18:5	36:3	2d (1)
29:15;30:3,7,14;	various (2)	withdraw (5)	1.3 (10)	20:19
31:14,20,23;37:10;	26:5;34:21	12:18,19,20,22;	25:25;26:4,17;	2nd (4)
39:8,17,20;46:7	versus (1)	46:16	27:12;30:4;34:19;	9:22;13:16,21;
two (24)	23:5	withdrawn (1)	35:11;39:17;40:24;	19:1
6:3,4;8:24;11:25;	VICTOR (3)	47:22	42:17	
13:25;14:10,18;15:4,	5:2,8;7:13	within (4)	1.378 (5)	3
6,24;21:17;22:7;	view (3)	24:3;26:10;27:24;	26:7;37:5;38:19;	
30:5;33:1,7,16,19;	25:4,5;39:7	41:19	39:21;40:3	30 (4)
30.3,33.1,7,10,19,	23.1,3,37.1	without (9)	37.21,10.3	

